

Rwanda

RISK & COMPLIANCE REPORT

DATE: January 2017

Executive Summary - Rwanda	
Sanctions:	None
FAFT list of AML Deficient Countries	No
Higher Risk Areas:	Compliance with FATF 40 + 9 Recommendations Not on EU White list equivalent jurisdictions Failed States Index (Political Issues)(Average Score)
Medium Risk Areas:	Weakness in Government Legislation to combat Money Laundering Corruption Index (Transparency International & W.G.I.) World Governmance Indicators (Average Score)
<p>Major Investment Areas:</p> <p>Agriculture - products: coffee, tea, pyrethrum (insecticide made from chrysanthemums), bananas, beans, sorghum, potatoes; livestock</p> <p>Industries: cement, agricultural products, small-scale beverages, soap, furniture, shoes, plastic goods, textiles, cigarettes</p> <p>Exports - commodities: coffee, tea, hides, tin ore</p> <p>Exports - partners: Kenya 32.6%, Democratic Republic of the Congo 13.1%, China 11.7%, Malaysia 10.4%, US 5.6%, Swaziland 5.2%, Pakistan 4.1% (2012)</p> <p>Imports - commodities: foodstuffs, machinery and equipment, steel, petroleum products, cement and construction material</p> <p>Imports - partners: Kenya 18.4%, Uganda 16.6%, UAE 8.3%, China 6.9%, India 5.4%, Tanzania 5.4%, Belgium 4.3% (2012)</p>	

Investment Restrictions:

Since 2008 the government has undertaken a series of pro-investment policy reforms to ensure Rwanda remains competitive in attracting foreign investment.

Local and foreign investors have the right to own and establish business enterprises in all forms of remunerative activity.

No statutory limits on foreign ownership or control exist. With the exception of limiting visa issuance to non-EAC citizens, there is no official economic or industrial strategy that has discriminatory effects on foreign investors.

Foreign investors can acquire real estate, but there is a general limit on land ownership. Although land is owned by the state, both foreign and local investors can acquire land through lease-hold agreements that extend to a maximum of 99 years.

Contents

Section 1 - Background	4
Section 2 - Anti – Money Laundering / Terrorist Financing	5
FATF status	5
Compliance with FATF Recommendations	5
US Department of State Money Laundering assessment (INCSR)	5
Reports	8
International Sanctions	11
Bribery & Corruption	12
Corruption and Government Transparency - Report by US State Department	12
Section 3 - Economy	13
Banking	14
Stock Exchange	14
Section 4 - Investment Climate	15
Section 5 - Government	30
Section 6 - Tax	31
Methodology and Sources	32

Section 1 - Background

In 1959, three years before independence from Belgium, the majority ethnic group, the Hutus, overthrew the ruling Tutsi king. Over the next several years, thousands of Tutsis were killed, and some 150,000 driven into exile in neighboring countries. The children of these exiles later formed a rebel group, the Rwandan Patriotic Front (RPF), and began a civil war in 1990. The war, along with several political and economic upheavals, exacerbated ethnic tensions, culminating in April 1994 in a state-orchestrated genocide, in which Rwandans killed up to a million of their fellow citizens, including approximately three-quarters of the Tutsi population. The genocide ended later that same year when the predominantly Tutsi RPF, operating out of Uganda and northern Rwanda, defeated the national army and Hutu militias, and established an RPF-led government of national unity. Approximately 2 million Hutu refugees - many fearing Tutsi retribution - fled to neighboring Burundi, Tanzania, Uganda, and former Zaire. Since then, most of the refugees have returned to Rwanda, but several thousand remained in the neighboring Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC, the former Zaire) and formed an extremist insurgency bent on retaking Rwanda, much as the RPF did in 1990. Rwanda held its first local elections in 1999 and its first post-genocide presidential and legislative elections in 2003. Rwanda in 2009 staged a joint military operation with the Congolese Army in DRC to rout out the Hutu extremist insurgency there, and Kigali and Kinshasa restored diplomatic relations. Rwanda also joined the Commonwealth in late 2009. In January 2013, Rwanda assumed a nonpermanent seat on the UN Security Council for the 2013-14 term.



Section 2 - Anti – Money Laundering / Terrorist Financing

FATF status

Rwanda is not on the FATF List of Countries that have been identified as having strategic AML deficiencies

Compliance with FATF Recommendations

Rwanda has not yet undertaken a Mutual Evaluation Report relating to the implementation of anti-money laundering and counter-terrorist financing standards.

US Department of State Money Laundering assessment (INCSR)

Rwanda was deemed a 'Monitored' Jurisdiction by the US Department of State 2016 International Narcotics Control Strategy Report (INCSR).

Key Findings from the report are as follows: -

Perceived Risks:

Rwanda is not a major or offshore financial center. The Rwandan financial system remains relatively unsophisticated, although the number of electronic fund transfers and credit card transactions is rising. Money transfers by cell phone are common. While the black market for smuggled goods is limited, the smuggling of tin, tantalum, tungsten, and gold from neighboring Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) generates funds that may be laundered through Rwanda's financial system. The scope of this smuggling is difficult to quantify; the Mid-Term Report of the UN Group of Experts on the Democratic Republic of Congo, released in September 2015, noted continued smuggling of minerals from the DRC to Rwanda by way of Lake Kivu and the Ruzizi River.

DO FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS ENGAGE IN CURRENCY TRANSACTIONS RELATED TO INTERNATIONAL NARCOTICS TRAFFICKING THAT INCLUDE SIGNIFICANT AMOUNTS OF US CURRENCY; CURRENCY DERIVED FROM ILLEGAL SALES IN THE U.S.; OR ILLEGAL DRUG SALES THAT OTHERWISE SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECT THE U.S.: NO

CRIMINALIZATION OF MONEY LAUNDERING:

"All serious crimes" approach or "list" approach to predicate crimes: All serious crimes
Are legal persons covered: criminally: YES civilly: YES

KNOW-YOUR-CUSTOMER (KYC) RULES:

Enhanced due diligence procedures for PEPs: Foreign: YES Domestic: YES KYC covered entities: Banks, private legal practitioners, auditors, real estate agents, high-value traders, casino and lottery owners, mobile network operators, travel agencies, and nongovernmental organizations (NGOs)

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS:

Number of STRs received and time frame: Not available

Number of CTRs received and time frame: Not available

STR covered entities: Banks, private legal practitioners, auditors, real estate agents, high-value traders, casino and lottery owners, travel agencies, and NGOs

MONEY LAUNDERING CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS/CONVICTIONS:

Prosecutions: 0 in 2015

Convictions: 0 in 2015

RECORDS EXCHANGE MECHANISM:

With U.S.: MLAT: NO Other mechanism: YES

With other governments/jurisdictions: YES

Rwanda is not a member of a FATF-style regional body (FSRB).

ENFORCEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES AND COMMENTS:

Rwanda is an observer to the Eastern and Southern Africa Anti-Money Laundering Group (ESAAMLG), a FSRB. In 2014, Rwanda applied to be a full member. The application is still pending.

Rwanda's Law 48/2008, "Prevention and Suppression of Money Laundering and the Financing of Terrorism," establishes a legislative AML/CFT regime. Law 01/2012, "Instituting the Penal Code," updates the established legal framework. Under Rwandan law, all foreign currency transactions in excess of \$20,000 or its equivalent are documented and reported to the Central Bank. Any transaction of any type in excess of \$1 million must be reported as a suspicious transaction.

The financial intelligence unit (FIU), housed within the Rwanda National Police, continues to improve monitoring of the financial system. As preventive measures, the FIU formulated directives on record-keeping, identification of customers, and suspicious transactions reporting, which were approved at the FIU advisory board meeting of December 2, 2015. The directives indicate modalities of reporting suspicious transactions, how to identify customers, how to conduct customer due diligence and how to keep records. The FIU is studying the issue of harmonizing cross-border currency reporting with neighboring countries. The improvements have not yet led to an increase in financial prosecutions and convictions for money laundering; however, in 2014-2015, the government prosecuted six cases related to illicit enrichment, and three cases are still pending in the courts.

In general, relevant agencies of the Government of Rwanda need further training, resources, and technical expertise to effectively investigate and enforce laws concerning money laundering and terrorist financing. Rwanda should provide safe harbor protections for its reporting entities and gatekeepers, seek to provide training and resources for its competent

authorities, ensure its FIU's autonomy, and continue its efforts to become a member of a FSRB.

Current Weaknesses in Government Legislation (INCRS Comparative Tables):

According to the US State Department, Rwanda does not conform with regard to the following government legislation: -

Arrangements for Asset Sharing - By law, regulation or bilateral agreement, the jurisdiction permits sharing of seized assets with third party jurisdictions that assisted in the conduct of the underlying investigation.

Disclosure Protection - "Safe Harbour" - By law, the jurisdiction provides a "safe harbour" defence to banks or other financial institutions and their employees who provide otherwise confidential banking data to authorities in pursuit of authorized investigations.

EU White list of Equivalent Jurisdictions

Rwanda is not currently on the EU White list of Equivalent Jurisdictions

World Governance indicators

[To view historic Governance Indicators Ctrl + Click here and then select country](#)

Failed States Index

[To view Failed States Index Ctrl + Click here](#)

Offshore Financial Centre

Rwanda is not considered to be an Offshore Financial Centre

US State Dept Narcotics Report 2013 (introduction):

No report available

US State Dept Trafficking in Persons Report 2014 (introduction):

Rwanda is classified a Tier 2 (watch list) country - a country whose government does not fully comply with the Trafficking Victims Protection Act's minimum standards, but is making significant efforts to bring themselves into compliance with those standards.

Rwanda is a source and, to a lesser extent, transit and destination country for women and children subjected to forced labor and sex trafficking. Rwandan girls and, to a lesser extent, boys are exploited in domestic servitude within the country, often through extended family networks; some of these children experience nonpayment of wages and physical or sexual abuse. Older females offer vulnerable younger girls room and board, and force them into prostitution to pay for their expenses. Brothel owners supply girls in prostitution to clients staying at hotels. Rwandan men, women and children are recruited and transported abroad, including to Kenya, Uganda, South Africa, the United Arab Emirates (UAE), Malaysia, China, the United States, and Europe where they are subjected to forced agricultural and industrial labor, domestic servitude, and prostitution.

Women and children from neighboring countries and Somalia are subjected to prostitution and forced labor in Rwanda. A limited number of foreign nationals transit Rwanda before being exploited in third countries; for example, in 2013, an unknown number of potential African victims transited Kigali airport en route to destinations in the Middle East. Kampala- and Nairobi-based labor recruiters and brokers recruited workers through fraudulent offers of employment or excessive fees; these recruiters coached potential victims on evading detection at Rwanda's land border crossings or hired smugglers to assist in illegal, unregulated crossings.

In 2013, the UN Group of Experts and Human Rights Watch (HRW) reported that Rwandan government officials provided support to the M23, an armed group operating in the eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo, which recruited children and men, at times fraudulently and by force. The government's material and logistical support to the M23 included weapons, ammunition, the provision of training by Rwandan Defense Forces (RDF) officers, and reinforcement by RDF soldiers during combat operations. The M23 recruited men and children in Rwanda in 2013, with some demobilized M23 soldiers reporting being initially conscripted by Rwandan Defense Force (RDF) soldiers. HRW indicated that Rwandan army officers also forcibly returned Rwandan deserters to the M23. The M23 were militarily defeated in November 2013. The Rwandan government refrained from reinforcing the M23 during final combat operations against the group, and Rwandan support to and recruiting for the M23 ceased following the group's defeat.

The Government of Rwanda does not fully comply with the minimum standards for the elimination of trafficking; however, it is making significant efforts to do so. The government

investigated 17 suspected traffickers and initiated prosecutions involving 11 defendants. It opened five additional centers that provide assistance to victims of gender-based violence, including screening for trafficking victimization. The government failed to convict any traffickers in 2013 and did not provide details on the number of victims identified and assisted during the year. The police continued to arrest and detain some trafficking victims in government transit centers, where officials sporadically screened for trafficking victimization and referred victims to subsequent care. Further, the government remained complicit in the commission of trafficking crimes through its provision of material and logistical support to the M23, an armed group in eastern DRC that—through its forced or fraudulent recruitment of children and men to serve in combat and support roles—directly engaged in trafficking. In addition, Rwandan officials reportedly actively recruited children for use by the M23 and forcibly returned those attempting to desert. The government denied re-entry into Rwanda to some demobilized M23 combatants of Rwandan nationality and did not provide assistance to repatriated M23 members as part of greater reintegration efforts carried out by the Rwanda Demobilization and Reintegration Commission (RDRC). Such efforts run counter to the government's years of unparalleled care for former adult and child combatants. While the government ceased its support of the M23 in November 2013, it has not acknowledged or taken action to address the trafficking crimes that took place beginning in early 2012. Due to these aforementioned failures and its support for the M23 during the reporting period, Rwanda did not demonstrate increased efforts to combat trafficking and is placed on Tier 2 Watch List for the second consecutive year.

US State Dept Terrorism Report 2013

Overview: Although Rwanda was not directly threatened by al-Shabaab and associated terrorist groups during 2013, fighting by armed groups along Rwanda's borders created instability and generated the potential for terrorist transit. Improving the counterterrorism capacity of its security services remained a high priority for the Government of Rwanda; however, no new counterterrorism initiatives were launched in 2013.

Legislation, Law Enforcement, and Border Security: Terrorism is subject to prosecution in Rwanda under the 2008 law on counterterrorism. The list of terrorism-related offenses was clarified and expanded in the 2012 penal code reform. Rwanda lacks a counterterrorism strategy and its security services are ill-equipped to detect, deter, or respond to acts of terrorism. It is also unclear which security organ (military, intelligence, or police) would have jurisdiction in the event of a large-scale terrorist attack. An Antiterrorism Unit exists within the Rwanda National Police (RNP); however, the officers have no specialized training, equipment, or mandate.

Relations between the RNP and its law enforcement counterparts in most of the East African Community (EAC) are strong, particularly with Uganda, but counterterrorism collaboration in 2013 was limited. After the Nairobi Westgate Mall attack in October, police chiefs from all EAC states convened in Kampala to discuss better ways to fight terrorism in the region. Measures agreed upon included the establishment of a counterterrorism planning desk, to be hosted and coordinated by Rwanda, and regular EAC meetings on ways to fight terrorism. These commitments had not been implemented by year's end.

The Directorate of Immigration and Emigration has installed computer systems at Kigali International Airport (KGL), allowing for biometric screening upon arrival. The Directorate has also begun placing computers at its land-border crossings, but at year's end there were no biometric capabilities; the computers were used strictly for electronic data entry only. Immigration screening systems and databases at points of entry were not able to communicate with each other, making border protection ineffective. The Directorate raised this issue directly with the United States, asking for assistance to implement a proper screening system at its borders and airports, and also asked about establishing a terrorist screening watchlist, which it did not have.

The Government of Rwanda prosecuted 13 Rwandan citizens for terrorism under Article 497 of the 2012 Penal Code in relation to grenade attacks and other alleged activities. Most notably, on November 13, the prosecution of Presidential bodyguard Joel Mutabazi for terrorism began. The United States and other international human rights organizations consider the charges politically motivated, aimed at stifling internal dissent.

A lack of trained and qualified personnel was the main impediment to effective law enforcement and border security within Rwanda. Government interference into investigations and legal proceedings is another major restraint.

Countering the Financing of Terrorism: Rwanda is not a member of a Financial Action Task Force-style regional body. The country's financial system is capable of electronic funds transfers, including mobile banking. Rwanda's 2012 penal code prohibits money laundering and terrorist financing by individuals and entities. The Government of Rwanda investigates and prosecutes terrorist finance under the 2008 Prevention and Suppression of Money Laundering and Financing of Terrorism Act, which established the legislative framework to adhere to international money laundering standards.

On 10 July 2008, the UN adopted Security Council Resolution 1823, lifting the arms embargo on Rwanda.

Although the arms embargo has now been lifted in respect of Rwanda there is still an embargo in force in respect of military goods going to Rwanda where there is a clear risk that such goods will be used in the Democratic Republic of Congo.

For further information please go to the following link: -

<http://www.un.org/sc/committees/>

Bribery & Corruption

Index	Rating (100-Good / 0-Bad)
Transparency International Corruption Index	54
World Governance Indicator – Control of Corruption	75

Corruption and Government Transparency - Report by US State Department

The government maintains a high-profile anti-corruption effort and senior leaders articulate a consistent message emphasizing that combating corruption is a key national goal. The government investigates corruption allegations and generally prosecutes and punishes those found guilty. Enforcement is the same for both foreign and local investors. High-ranking officials accused of corruption often resign during the investigation period and many have been prosecuted. Senior government officials take pride in Rwanda's reputation for being tough on corruption, and numerous governmental institutions play an active role in investigating public officials accused of such.

Rwanda has signed and ratified the UN Anticorruption Convention. It is a signatory to the OECD Convention on Combating Bribery. It is also a signatory to the African Union Anticorruption Convention. Giving and accepting a bribe is a criminal act under law, and penalties depend on circumstances surrounding the specific case. U.S. firms have identified the perceived lack of government corruption in Rwanda as a key incentive to investing in the country.

Some firms have reported occurrences of petty corruption in the customs clearing process, but there are few or no reports of corruption in transfers, dispute settlement, regulatory system, taxation, or investment performance requirements. A local company cannot deduct a bribe to a foreign official from taxes. A bribe by a local company to a foreign official is a crime in Rwanda.

The Office of the Auditor General has pursued many corruption cases in recent years, most of which involved misuse of public funds. The Rwanda Governance Board monitored governance more broadly and promoted mechanisms to control corruption. The Rwanda Revenue Authority's Anticorruption Unit had a code of conduct and an active mechanism for internal discipline. The Office of the Ombudsman, the National Tender Board, the Rwanda Utilities Regulatory Agency, and the National Bureau of Standards also enforced regulations.

Section 3 - Economy

Rwanda is a poor rural country with about 90% of the population engaged in (mainly subsistence) agriculture and some mineral and agro-processing. Tourism, minerals, coffee and tea are Rwanda's main sources of foreign exchange. The 1994 genocide decimated Rwanda's fragile economic base, severely impoverished the population, particularly women, and temporarily stalled the country's ability to attract private and external investment. However, Rwanda has made substantial progress in stabilizing and rehabilitating its economy to pre-1994 levels. GDP has rebounded with an average annual growth of 7%-8% since 2003 and inflation has been reduced to single digits. Nonetheless, a significant percent of the population still live below the official poverty line. Despite Rwanda's fertile ecosystem, food production often does not keep pace with demand, requiring food imports. Rwanda continues to receive substantial aid money and obtained IMF-World Bank Heavily Indebted Poor Country (HIPC) initiative debt relief in 2005-06. In recognition of Rwanda's successful management of its macro economy, in 2010, the IMF graduated Rwanda to a Policy Support Instrument (PSI). Rwanda also received a Millennium Challenge Threshold Program in 2008. Africa's most densely populated country is trying to overcome the limitations of its small, landlocked economy by leveraging regional trade. Rwanda joined the East African Community and is aligning its budget, trade, and immigration policies with its regional partners. The government has embraced an expansionary fiscal policy to reduce poverty by improving education, infrastructure, and foreign and domestic investment and pursuing market-oriented reforms. Energy shortages, instability in neighboring states, and lack of adequate transportation linkages to other countries continue to handicap private sector growth. The Rwandan Government is seeking to become regional leader in information and communication technologies. In 2010, Rwanda neared completion of the first modern Special Economic Zone (SEZ) in Kigali. The SEZ seeks to attract investment in all sectors, but specifically in agribusiness, information and communications technologies, trade and logistics, mining, and construction. The global downturn hurt export demand and tourism, but economic growth has recovered, driven in large part by the services sector, but inflation has grown. On the back of this growth, government is gradually ending its fiscal stimulus policy while protecting aid to the poor.

Agriculture - products:

coffee, tea, pyrethrum (insecticide made from chrysanthemums), bananas, beans, sorghum, potatoes; livestock

Industries:

cement, agricultural products, small-scale beverages, soap, furniture, shoes, plastic goods, textiles, cigarettes

Exports - commodities:

coffee, tea, hides, tin ore

Exports - partners:

Kenya 32.6%, Democratic Republic of the Congo 13.1%, China 11.7%, Malaysia 10.4%, US 5.6%, Swaziland 5.2%, Pakistan 4.1% (2012)

Imports - commodities:

foodstuffs, machinery and equipment, steel, petroleum products, cement and construction material

Imports - partners:

Kenya 18.4%, Uganda 16.6%, UAE 8.3%, China 6.9%, India 5.4%, Tanzania 5.4%, Belgium 4.3% (2012)

Banking

Local banks operate in both local currency and dollar-based accounts. Starting in 2008, a capital requirement was fixed at \$9.2 million. All commercial banks have international correspondent banks operating in major cities of the world. Automatic Teller Machines (ATM) are available, but still limited to local transactions. Commercial banks are authorized to provide loans in foreign currency. The government has recently implemented a financial sector development plan that improves access to financial services and competition in the banking sector and in micro-finance.

Stock Exchange

In 2011 the government launched the Rwanda Stock Exchange in order to facilitate trading of equities and improve capital mobilization in the private sector.

Executive Summary

Rwanda enjoys strong economic growth, high rankings in the World Bank's Ease of Doing Business Index, and a reputation for low corruption. The Government of Rwanda has undertaken a series of pro-investment policy reforms intended to improve Rwanda's investment climate and increase other foreign direct investment (FDI). The country presents numerous opportunities for U.S. and foreign direct investment, including in renewable energy, infrastructure, agriculture, mining, tourism, and information and communications.

Yet despite its business-friendly reputation, FDI in Rwanda lags well behind some of its neighbors in the East African Community (EAC) – a mere 2.2 percent of GDP in 2012, worth USD 156 million. Potential and current investors cite a number of hurdles and constraints, including high transport costs, a small domestic market, limited access to affordable financing, inadequate infrastructure, ambiguous tax rules, and a lack of skills in the workforce.

General labor is available, but Rwanda suffers from a shortage of skilled workers, including accountants, lawyers, and technicians. Higher institutes of technology, private universities, and vocational institutes are improving and producing more and better-trained graduates each year.

There is no difficulty obtaining foreign exchange in Rwanda or transferring funds associated with an investment into a usable currency and at a legal market-clearing rate. In 1995, the government abandoned the dollar peg and established a floating exchange rate regime, under which all lending and deposit interest rates were liberalized.

The government maintains a high-profile anti-corruption effort and senior leaders articulate a consistent message emphasizing that fighting corruption is a key national goal. The government investigates corruption allegations and generally prosecutes and punishes those found guilty.

Rwandan law provides permanent residence and access to land to investors who deposit USD 500,000 in a commercial bank in the country for a minimum of six months. There are neither statutory limits on foreign ownership or control, nor any official economic or industrial strategy that discriminates against foreign investors.

Rwanda is a stable country with low violent crime rates. A strong police and military provide a security umbrella that minimizes potential criminal activity and political disturbances. On multiple occasions since 2008, however, unknown assailants have detonated grenades in Kigali and other areas of the country.

Rwanda is a member of the East African Community (EAC), and participates in a customs union that helps facilitate the movement of goods produced in the region and allows EAC citizens with certain skills to work in any member state.

Rwanda has also established a free trade zone outside the capital, Kigali, which includes current and planned future communications infrastructure. Bonded warehouse facilities are

now available both in and outside of Kigali for use by businesses importing duty free materials.

1. Openness To, and Restrictions Upon, Foreign Investment

The Government of Rwanda (GoR) understands that private sector development is critical if Rwanda is to achieve its aim to reach middle-income status by 2020, and reduce the country's reliance on foreign aid. Over the past decade, the GoR has undertaken a series of pro-investment policy reforms intended to improve the investment climate, expand trade in products and services, and increase levels of foreign direct investment.

Rwanda enjoys strong economic growth, high rankings in the World Bank's Ease of Doing Business Index," and a reputation for low corruption. The country presents numerous opportunities for U.S. and foreign direct investment, including in renewable energy, infrastructure, **agriculture**, tourism, mining, and **information and communications**.

Yet despite its business-friendly reputation, FDI in Rwanda lags well behind some of its neighbors in the EAC – a mere 2.2 percent of GDP in 2012, worth USD 156 million. Potential and current investors cite a number of hurdles and constraints, including high transport costs, a small domestic market, limited access to affordable financing, inadequate infrastructure, ambiguous tax rules, and a lack of skills in the workforce.

In 2006, the Government of Rwanda (GoR) consolidated multiple investment-related government agencies, including the Office of Tourism and National Parks, and the Rwanda Investment and Export Promotion Agency, to establish the Rwanda Development Board (RDB), which serves today as the country's chief investment promotion agency.

RDB offers one of the fastest business registration processes in Africa: new investors can register online at RDB's website and receive approval to operate in less than 24 hours, and the agency's "one-stop shop" helps foreign investors secure required approvals, certificates, and work permits.

Despite RDB's investment facilitation role, some foreign investors say they face difficulty in obtaining or renewing work visas due to the GoR's demonstrated preference for hiring local or EAC residents over third country nationals.

Investors have also cited the inconsistent application of tax incentives and import duties as a significant challenge to doing business in Rwanda. Under Rwandan law, foreign firms should receive equal treatment with regard to taxes, and access to licenses, approvals, and procurement.

Rwanda's 2005 investment law provides permanent residence and access to land to investors who deposit USD 500,000 in a commercial bank in the country for a minimum of six months. There are neither statutory limits on foreign ownership or control, nor any official economic or industrial strategy that discriminates against foreign investors.

Rwanda's judicial system suffers from a lack of resources and capacity, including functioning courts. The Heritage Foundation's Economic Freedom Index has cited the judiciary's lack of independence from the executive. Investors cite the GoR's casual approach to contract sanctity and say the government fails to enforce court judgments in a timely fashion. The

neutral arbitration clause of the U.S.-Rwanda Bilateral Investment Treaty, which entered into force on January 1, 2012, may mitigate some of these concerns for U.S. investors.

In 2008, the GoR implemented business reform legislation, which included new bankruptcy regulations and arbitration laws. In 2009, it approved a new intellectual property law. A company law, also adopted in 2009, strengthened investor protections by requiring greater corporate disclosure, increasing the liability of directors, and improving shareholders' access to information. In 2011, the GoR reformed tax payment processes and enacted additional laws on insolvency and arbitration. Under the 2012 penal code, the government may compel a firm to disclose proprietary information to government authorities under the auspices of a criminal investigation of fraudulent bankruptcy or other alleged criminal offense. These laws were designed to facilitate international business and further improve the investment climate.

There is no mandatory screening of foreign investment. However, RDB does evaluate the business plans of investors that seek tax incentives in order to record incoming foreign investment and better allocate incentives to qualified foreign investors.

Historically, the government has encouraged foreign investment through outreach and tax incentives. The only difference in treatment between foreign and domestic companies is the initial capital requirement for official registration, which the GoR sets at USD 250,000 for foreign investors, and USD 100,000 for domestic investors. There are no reports of foreign investors declining to invest due to these differing treatments.

Foreign investors can acquire real estate, though there is a general limit on land ownership, and both foreign and local investors can acquire land through leasehold agreements that extend to a maximum of 99 years.

The GoR established the Privatization Secretariat and the Rwanda Public Procurement Agency to ensure transparency in government tenders and divestment of state-owned enterprises. Rwanda's ranking in Transparency International's "Corruption Perception Index" has improved significantly, falling from 102 in 2008, to 49 in 2013, the top ranked country in eastern Africa. A 2012 report by Rwanda's Office of the Auditor General cited continuing problems with inappropriate procurement methods, but said violations had reduced significantly from years past.

There are no laws requiring private firms to adopt articles of incorporation or association that limit or prohibit foreign investment, participation, or control.

The World Bank, Transparency International, the Heritage Foundation, and the Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) have all reported improved business climate indicators over the last five years.

TABLE 1: The following chart summarizes several well-known indices and rankings.

Measure	Year	Index or Rank	Website Address

TI Corruption Perceptions index	2013	49 of 175	http://cpi.transparency.org/cpi2013/results/
Heritage Foundation's Economic Freedom index	2013	65 of 177	http://www.heritage.org/index/ranking
World Bank's Doing Business Report "Ease of Doing Business"	2013	32 of 189	http://doingbusiness.org/rankings
Global Innovation Index	2013	112 of 142	http://www.globalinnovationindex.org/content.aspx?page=gii-full-report-2013#pdfopener
World Bank GNI per capita	2012	USD 600	http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GNP.PCAP.CD

The Millennium Challenge Corporation, a U.S. Government entity charged with delivering development grants to countries that have demonstrated a commitment to reform, produced scorecards for countries with a 2012 per capita gross national income (GNI) or \$4,085 or less. A list of countries/economies with MCC scorecards and links to those scorecards is available here: <http://www.mcc.gov/pages/selection/scorecards>. Details on each of the MCC's indicators and a guide to reading the scorecards are available here: <http://www.mcc.gov/documents/reports/reference-2013001142401-fy14-guide-to-the-indicators.pdf>

Here are some useful websites to help navigate the laws, rules, procedures and registration requirements for foreign investors

Data	Link
Detailed list of steps, forms and requirements, costs, processing time, contact details of entities involved and legal bases can be consulted online for the following procedures:	http://rwanda.eregulations.org/

Registering a foreign company (Rwanda Development Board)	http://rwanda.eregulations.org/procedure/10/4?l=en
Registering a foreign company (online)	http://rwanda.eregulations.org/procedure/11/7?l=en
Paying taxes	http://rwanda.eregulations.org/menu/12?l=en
Obtaining an investment certificate (to benefit from incentives)	http://rwanda.eregulations.org/procedure/26/41?l=en
Obtaining a single entry visa	http://rwanda.eregulations.org/procedure/64/59?l=en

2. Conversion and Transfer Policies

There is no difficulty obtaining foreign exchange, or transferring funds associated with an investment into a usable currency and at a legal market-clearing rate. In 1995, the government abandoned the dollar peg and established a floating exchange rate regime, under which all lending and deposit interest rates were liberalized. The central bank holds daily foreign exchange sales freely accessed by commercial banks.

Investors can remit payments only through authorized commercial banks. There is no limit on the inflow of funds, although local banks are required to notify the central bank of all transfers over USD 10,000 to mitigate the risk of potential money laundering. Additionally, there are some restrictions on the outflow of export earnings. Companies generally must repatriate export earnings within three months after the goods cross the border. Tea exporters must deposit sales proceeds shortly after auction in Mombasa. Repatriated export earnings deposited in commercial banks must match the exact declaration the exporter used crossing the border. Rwandans working overseas can make remittances to their home country without impediment.

It usually takes two to three days to transfer money using SWIFT financial services. Other financial services companies such as Western Union and Money Gram are also available to investors seeking to transfer funds.

Since January 2007, the Rwandan franc (Rwf) has been convertible for essentially all business transactions. Rwanda has a liberal monetary system and complies with International Monetary Fund (IMF) Article VIII and all Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) convertibility requirements.

3. Expropriation and Compensation

The government reserves the right to expropriate property "in the public interest" and "for qualified private investment" under the expropriation law of 2007. The government and landowner negotiate compensation directly depending on the importance of the investment and the size of the expropriated property. RDB may facilitate expropriation in cases where the expropriation is potentially controversial. Valuation of expropriated property is often opaque and controversial. In the past several years, a number of property owners

have protested expropriation of their property by the City of Kigali and claimed that the compensation offered was below market value and not in accordance with the expropriation law. Implementation of the Kigali City Master Plan has at times created additional threatened expropriations, as property owners in selected areas have been compelled to construct multi-story commercial developments or face potential eviction from their property.

In 2013, the City of Kigali seized Rwanda's largest mall, the Union Trade Center (UTC), from its Rwandan owner, a political exile who lives in South Africa. Soon after seizing the property, the government issued a new "abandoned property" law that grants the GoR expanded authority to expropriate and manage the property of owner-investors who reside outside Rwanda.

In the past, mining sector investors have complained that the GoR has denied access to their mining concessions without appropriate compensation.

Rwanda's 2007 Law Relating to Expropriation in the Public Interest requires the government to pay compensation to property owners prior to relocation or expropriation. In practice, however, this procedure has not always been followed.

4. Dispute Settlement

Under the U.S.-Rwanda Bilateral Investment Treaty, U.S. investors have the right to bring investment disputes before neutral, international arbitration panels. Disputes between U.S. investors and the GoR in recent years have been resolved through international arbitration, court judgments, or out of court settlements.

Rwanda is a signatory to the Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) and African Trade Insurance Agency (ATI). ICSID seeks to remove impediments to private investment posed by non-commercial risks, while ATI covers risk against restrictions on import and export activities, inconvertibility, expropriation, war, and civil disturbances. Rwanda is a member of the East African Court of Justice for the settlement of disputes arising from or pertaining to the East African Community (EAC). Rwanda has also acceded to the 1958 New York Arbitration Convention.

In 2012, the GoR launched the Kigali International Arbitration Center (KIAC), an alternative business settlement venue that aims to reduce the costs of contract settlement and enforcement for investors. Rwanda's Private Sector Federation has estimated that investors are forced to spend around 68 percent of the value of court awards pursuing claims via commercial courts.

In 2008, Rwanda created specialized commercial courts to address commercial disputes and facilitate enforcement of property and contract rights. To clear a backlog of commercial cases, the GoR hired experienced foreign judges who presided over Rwandan commercial trials. The role of the judges was positively received and non-controversial. The law governing commercial establishments, the investment law, the law on privatization and public investment, the land law, and the law on protection and conservation of the environment are currently the primary legislation governing investments in Rwanda.

Judgments by foreign courts and contract clauses that abide by foreign law are accepted and enforced by local courts, though local courts lack capacity and experience to adjudicate cases governed by non-Rwandan law. There have been a number of private investment disputes in Rwanda, though the GoR has yet to stand as complainant or respondent in a World Trade Organization (WTO) dispute settlement.

Rwanda signed and ratified the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (MIGA) convention on October 27, 1989. MIGA issues guarantees against non-commercial risks to enterprises that invest in member countries.

Rwanda has maintained good relations with the U.S. Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC) since the 1960s. Although OPIC's portfolio of investments and insurance policies in Rwanda is small, the corporation is seeking to expand investments in the country.

5. Performance Requirements/Incentives

Unless stipulated in a memorandum of understanding characterizing the purchase of privatized enterprises, performance requirements are not imposed as a condition for establishing, maintaining, or expanding other investments. Such requirements are imposed chiefly as a condition to tax and investment incentives. Investors who demonstrate capacity to add value and invest in priority sectors have generally enjoyed more tax and investment incentives, including Value Added Tax (VAT) exemptions on all imported raw materials, 100 percent write-off on research and development costs, five-to-seven percent reduction in corporate income tax for firms whose exports are worth at least USD 3 million, duty exemption on equipment, and a favorable accelerated rate of depreciation of 50 percent in the first year. The government also offers grants and special access to credit to investors who develop in rural areas. There are no import quotas for investors.

The GoR has said that a forthcoming revision of the investment law, which the government plans to release in June 2014, will be less generous with investor tax breaks, which currently average 2.7 percent of GDP. The government says it will instead offer lower tax rates to investors in the energy, transport, and logistics sectors.

The government encourages foreign investors, without legal obligation, to transfer technology and expertise to local staff to help develop Rwanda's human capital. Recently, the GoR has limited the number of visas that investors can obtain for non-Rwandan or non-EAC citizen staff, thereby aiming to accelerate technology and expertise transfer to Rwandan staff. Many American investors cite the GoR's unwillingness to support visas for expatriate staff as one of the most significant limitations on doing business on Rwanda. The GoR has said that, under the forthcoming revision of the investment law, it plans to ease restrictions on the hiring of foreign labor.

RDB has been successful in developing investment incentives and publicizing investment opportunities abroad. Registered foreign investors have obtained benefits in the past, including exemption from value-added tax and duties when importing machinery, equipment, and raw materials. However, investors have complained that coordination between RDB and Rwanda Revenue Authority (RRA) is limited, resulting in assessment by RRA on duties or taxes on registered investments despite RDB's assurance that such investments qualified for tax-exempt or tax-incentivized status. The GOR has recently prioritized

expanding Rwanda's tax base and increasing revenue. Consequently, RDB's ability to issue tax incentives to new foreign investors has been somewhat constrained, and may be limited further by the upcoming revision of the investment law.

There is no legal requirement that investors must purchase from local sources or export a certain percentage of their output. However, in the past, the government has made available preferential tax incentives to investors who create significant export-oriented growth. The government determines eligibility for such incentives on request and based on several factors: exports must total at least 80 percent of production (or at least 10 percent if manufacturing under bond); and capital investment must reach USD 100,000 for local investors and Common Market for East and Southern Africa (COMESA) member states, or USD 250,000 for non-COMESA investors.

There is no legal obligation for nationals to own shares in foreign investments or requirement that shares of foreign equity be reduced over time. However, the government strongly encourages local participation in foreign investments. The government does not impose conditions on the transfer of technology.

The government is not involved in assessing the type and source of raw materials for performance, but the National Bureau of Standards determines quality standards.

U.S. passport holders do not require a visa for visits to Rwanda of 90 days or less. Foreigners applying for work permits and/or residency visas must apply within 15 days of their arrival in country. The government generally processes visa applications for U.S. citizen investors in a timely manner. However, some investors have complained that the application process for work permits and extended stay visas has become onerous. Immigration authorities frequently request extra documentation detailing applicants' qualifications and, at times, have taken several months to adjudicate cases. Applicants may facilitate the process by ensuring that they travel with original police clearances, preferably stamped or notarized. Educational documents should be on original letterhead. Applicants should also obtain a certified copy of diplomas, if the original is not carried.

Investors should be aware that East African Community (EAC) applicants are given hiring preference and the Immigration Office may refuse work permits for non-EAC citizens when it is deemed that an EAC citizen can undertake the job in question.

6. Right to Private Ownership and Establishment

Local and foreign investors have the right to own and establish business enterprises in all forms of remunerative activity. The Rwandan constitution stipulates that every person has the right to private property, whether personal or in association with others. The government cannot violate the right to private ownership except in the public interest and only then after following procedures that are determined by law and subject to fair compensation.

The law also allows private entities to acquire and dispose of interests in business enterprises. Foreign nationals may hold shares in locally incorporated companies. The government has continued to privatize state holdings, including former state-owned Cimerwa cement, though the government, ruling party, and military continue to play a dominant role in Rwanda's private sector.

7. Protection of Property Rights

The law protects and facilitates acquisition and disposition of all property rights. Investors involved in commercial agriculture have leasehold titles and are able to secure property titles, if necessary. A property registration and land titling effort, the result of a 2005 land law, was completed in 2013.

Intellectual property: Rwanda is a member of African Regional Intellectual Property Organization (ARIPO). It is also a member of World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and is currently working towards harmonizing its legislation with WTO trade-related aspects of intellectual property. The Ministry of Commerce (MINICOM), the Rwandan Revenue Authority (RRA), and the Rwandan Bureau of Standards (RBS) work together to address issues involving counterfeit products on the Rwandan market. Through the RBS and the RRA, Rwanda has worked to increase protection of intellectual property rights, but many goods that violate patents, especially pharmaceutical products, make it to market nonetheless.

Rwanda has yet to ratify WIPO internet treaties, though the government has taken steps to implement and enforce the WTO TRIPS agreements. Intellectual property legislation covering patents, trademarks, and copyrights was approved in October 2009. A Registration Service Agency, which is part of the RDB, was established in 2008, and has improved intellectual property right protection by registering all commercial entities and facilitating business identification and branding.

For additional information about treaty obligations and points of contact at local IP offices, please see WIPO's country profiles at <http://www.wipo.int/directory/en/>.

Embassy point of contact: Jeffrey Bowan BowanjD@state.gov

Local lawyers list: http://rwanda.usembassy.gov/attorneys_in_rwanda.html

8. Transparency of the Regulatory System

The government generally employs transparent policies and effective laws to foster clear rules consistent with international norms. Institutions such as the Rwanda Revenue Authority, the Ombudsman's office, Rwanda Bureau of Standards (RBS), the National Public Prosecutions Authority (NPPA), the Rwanda Utilities Regulatory Agency, the Public Procurement Agency, and the Privatization Secretariat all have clear rules and procedures. However, some investors claim that the RRA unfairly targets foreign investors for audits. In recent years, several investors raised concerns that RRA breached Rwandan law by auditing corporate financial statements that had already exceeded the statute of limitations for review.

There is no formal mechanism to publish draft laws for public comment, although civil society sometimes has the opportunity to review proposed laws. There is no government effort to restrict foreign participation in industry standards-setting consortia or organizations.

Some investors complain that the strict enforcement of tax, labor, and environmental laws impede investment. In 2009, the government updated the labor code to simplify labor recruitment and facilitate the hiring, firing, and retention of competent staff.

In 2003, Rwanda established an ombudsman's office that monitors transparency and regulatory compliance in all governmental sectors. The Rwanda Utility Regulation Agency, the Auditor General's Office, the Anticorruption Division of the RRA, the RBS, and the National Tender Board also enforce regulations. From 2009 to 2013, the press reported instances of alleged malfeasance involving private citizens and Rwandan officials. This led to investigations and arrests of high-ranking officials as well as a number of resignations.

There is no informal regulatory process managed by nongovernmental organizations. Existing legal, regulatory, and accounting systems are generally transparent and consistent with international norms, but are not always enforced.

A key component of the government's regulatory system is the Office of the Auditor General, which was established in 1999 to audit government adherence to fiscal controls. The OAG reports annually to Parliament and those reports have prompted wide-ranging criminal investigations of alleged misconduct in previous years.

Consumer protection associations exist, but are largely ineffective. The business community has been able to lobby the government and provide feedback on government policy and execution through the Private Sector Federation, a business association with strong ties to the government.

Rwanda is a member of the U.N. Conference on Trade and Development's international network of transparent investment procedures: <http://rwanda.eregulations.org/>. Foreign and national investors can find detailed information on administrative procedures applicable to investment and income generating operations including the number of steps, name and contact details of the entities and persons in charge of procedures, required documents and conditions, costs, processing time, and legal bases justifying the procedures.

9. Efficient Capital Markets and Portfolio Investment

Access to affordable credit is a serious challenge in Rwanda. Interest rates are high, banks offer short-term loans only, and Rwandan commercial banks are unable to issue significant loan values. Investors who seek to borrow more than USD 1 million must often engage in multi-party loan transactions, usually leveraging support from larger Kenyan banks. Credit terms generally reflect market rates and foreign investors are able to negotiate credit facilities from local lending institutions if they have collateral and "bankable" projects.

The private sector has limited access to credit instruments. Most Rwandan banks are conservative, risk-averse, and trade in a limited range of commercial products, though additional products are becoming available as the industry matures. Credit cards are not used extensively, except in major hotels and a few restaurants. Debit cards have been introduced on a limited basis. In December 2011, Visa International opened an office in Rwanda and announced a partnership with the central bank through which the company is working to expand electronic payment services throughout Rwanda. While the use of credit cards is becoming more popular, Rwanda remains primarily a cash-based economy.

An over-the-counter (OTC) market was established in 2008, with the assistance of the U.S. Department of the Treasury. Trading volume is limited and confined to the sale of government treasury bills and a few corporate bonds and shares. In December 2010, Heineken launched the country's first initial public offering (IPO) for 30 percent of the shares

in its Rwandan subsidiary, Bralirwa. Subsequently, Bank of Kigali (BOK) became the second listed Rwandan firm, with its shares officially trading on the Rwanda Stock Exchange from September 1, 2011. Kenya Commercial Bank (KCB), Kenya's National Media Group (NMG), and Kenyan supermarket chain Uchumi (USL) also cross-list their shares, bringing the total number of companies traded on the Rwanda Stock Exchange to five.

The central bank capital requirement for commercial and investment banks is currently Rwf 5 billion (USD 7.4 million). As of 2014, all banks were compliant with the capital requirement. The required minimum capital adequacy ratio of 15 percent is above the Basel minimum requirement.

With only a small OTC market, corporations generally trade shares among one another or with private investors. No hostile takeovers have occurred involving foreign investors, and both the central bank and government have been active in seeking foreign investors for the banking sector.

The IMF gives the central bank high marks for its effective monetary policy. In June 2010, Rwanda became the seventh country in the world to adopt the IMF's Policy Support Instrument (PSI), a program designed for countries that have achieved macroeconomic stability and no longer require financial support from the IMF. Rwanda successfully completed its seventh review under the PSI in 2013.

Rwanda is one of just a few sub-Saharan countries to have issued sovereign bonds. In April 2013, Rwanda launched a USD 400 million, 10-year eurobond priced to yield 6.875 percent. Orders eventually reached more than 9 times the bond's issue size. The GoR earmarked the proceeds to fund a new convention center, build a 28-MW hydropower station, and expand RwandAir, the state-run carrier.

10. Competition from State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs)

Rwandan law allows private enterprises to compete with public enterprises under the same terms and conditions with respect to access to markets, credit, and other business operations. Since 2006, the government has made an effort to privatize SOEs, to reduce the government's non-controlling shares in private enterprises, and attract FDI, especially in the **information and communications**, tourism, banking, and **agriculture** sectors.

Rwandan investors and investor groups have acquired minority and majority stakes in former SOEs. Government shareholders, including the Rwandan Social Security Board and other government savings schemes, back a number of these investor groups. Individuals with close ties to the government and/or ruling party lead other firms. Current SOEs include water and electricity utilities, and companies in **construction, information and communications**, mining, insurance, **finance**, and other investments. The government continues to own significant and sometimes controlling interests in telecommunications, insurance, hotels and other sectors.

Some private firms assert that SOEs and private enterprises in which the government owns shares, or that have close ties to government officials, receive preferential treatment with regard to access to credit and tax compliance enforcement.

SOEs generally have boards of directors that function independently. However, GoR officials and their representatives sit on SOE boards and exercise considerable influence. Most SOEs are required to publish audited annual reports, but some are not readily available.

11. Corporate Social Responsibility

There is a growing awareness of corporate social responsibility (CSR), but only a few companies – chiefly foreign-owned – have implemented sustainable programs. In recognition of the firm's strong commitment to CSR, the U.S. Department of State awarded Sorwathe, a U.S.-owned tea producer in Kinihira, Rwanda, the Secretary of State's 2012 Award for Corporate Excellence for Small and Medium Enterprises.

12. Political Violence

Rwanda is a stable country with relatively little violence. A strong police and military provide a security umbrella that minimizes potential criminal activity and political disturbances.

In 2012 and 2013, there was fighting in the eastern Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) between Congolese armed forces (FARDC) and the M23, an armed group comprised mostly of soldiers who defected from the FARDC. While M23 was defeated militarily in November 2013, the FARDC and UN peacekeepers continued to engage in combat operations against other armed groups in the DRC state of North Kivu, which borders Rwanda. The U.S. Department of State recommends that U.S. citizens exercise caution when traveling near the Rwanda-DRC border, given the possibility of renewed fighting.

In late August 2013, cross-border fire landed within the borders of Rwanda in Rubavu district, including within the city of Gisenyi. The GoR blamed these incidents on the FARDC. In early December 2012, a small element of armed individuals crossed the border from eastern DRC and attacked a ranger camp northwest of Kinigi. The attack, which occurred just south of Volcanoes National Park, left one ranger dead. The Democratic Forces for the Liberation of Rwanda (FDLR) claimed responsibility for this incursion. The FDLR is an armed group that includes former soldiers and supporters of the regime that orchestrated the 1994 genocide and that continues to operate in eastern DRC, near the border with Rwanda.

Grenade attacks aimed at the local populace have occurred on a recurring basis over the last five years in Rwanda. Four attacks occurred in Kigali in 2013 and early 2014, killing five and injuring 48 persons.

Despite occasional violence along Rwanda's border with eastern DRC, there have been no incidents involving politically motivated damage to investment projects or installations since the late 1990s.

13. Corruption

The government maintains a high-profile anti-corruption effort and senior leaders articulate a consistent message emphasizing that combating corruption is a key national goal. The government investigates corruption allegations and generally prosecutes and punishes those found guilty. Enforcement is the same for both foreign and local investors. High-ranking officials accused of corruption often resign during the investigation period and many have been prosecuted. Senior government officials take pride in Rwanda's reputation for being

tough on corruption, and numerous governmental institutions play an active role in investigating public officials accused of such.

Rwanda has signed and ratified the UN Anticorruption Convention. It is a signatory to the OECD Convention on Combating Bribery. It is also a signatory to the African Union Anticorruption Convention. Giving and accepting a bribe is a criminal act under law, and penalties depend on circumstances surrounding the specific case. U.S. firms have identified the perceived lack of government corruption in Rwanda as a key incentive to investing in the country.

Some firms have reported occurrences of petty corruption in the customs clearing process, but there are few or no reports of corruption in transfers, dispute settlement, regulatory system, taxation, or investment performance requirements. A local company cannot deduct a bribe to a foreign official from taxes. A bribe by a local company to a foreign official is a crime in Rwanda.

The Office of the Auditor General has pursued many corruption cases in recent years, most of which involved misuse of public funds. The Rwanda Governance Board monitored governance more broadly and promoted mechanisms to control corruption. The Rwanda Revenue Authority's Anticorruption Unit had a code of conduct and an active mechanism for internal discipline. The Office of the Ombudsman, the National Tender Board, the Rwanda Utilities Regulatory Agency, and the National Bureau of Standards also enforced regulations.

14. Bilateral Investment Agreements

Rwanda is eligible for trade preferences under the African Growth and Opportunity Act (AGOA), which the United States enacted to extend duty-free and quota-free access to the U.S. market for nearly all textile and handicraft goods produced in eligible beneficiary countries. The U.S. and Rwanda signed a Trade and Investment Framework Agreement (TIFA) in 2006, and a Bilateral Investment Treaty (BIT) in 2008. Rwanda has also signed bilateral investment treaties with Germany (1967) and Belgium (1985).

15. OPIC and Other Investment Insurance Programs

The Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC) has provided financing and political risk insurance to eleven U.S. projects in Rwanda since 1975, including Sorwathe Tea Ltd., Forestry and Agricultural Investment Management, and Westrock Coffee Holdings, LLC. Given Rwanda's political, economic, and currency stability, OPIC officials have expressed interest in expanding the corporation's portfolio in Rwanda and are currently evaluating potential projects.

The Export-Import Bank (EXIM) continues its program to insure short-term export credit transactions involving various payment terms, including open accounts that cover the exports of consumer goods, services, commodities, and certain capital goods. Rwanda is a member of the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (MIGA) and the African Trade Insurance Agency (ATI).

16. Labor

General labor is available, but Rwanda suffers from a shortage of skilled labor, including accountants, lawyers, and technicians. Higher institutes of technology, private universities, and vocational institutes are improving and producing more and better-trained graduates each year. Carnegie Mellon University has opened a campus in Kigali – its first in sub-Saharan Africa – and currently offers masters-level courses in information and communication technologies. In 2012, the government extended basic compulsory education from nine to twelve years. In 2009, the government designated English, rather than French, as the language of instruction for students from grade four onwards.

Rwanda attempts to adhere to International Labor Organization (ILO) conventions protecting worker rights. Policies to protect workers in special labor conditions exist, but enforcement remains inconsistent. The government encourages, but does not require, on-the-job training and technology transfer to local employees.

In 2000, the government revised the national labor code to eliminate gender discrimination, restrictions on the mobility of labor, and wage controls. In 2009, parliament passed a new labor code, which sets the minimum age for formal employment at 18, and strengthened prohibitions on the use of child labor and hazardous or forced work. Companies find skill deficits in many sectors when hiring, though such deficits will continue to shrink as literacy rates increase and Rwandan institutions of higher learning produce additional, qualified graduates. Rwanda’s literacy rate was 71 percent in 2013, up from 58 percent in 1991.

17. Foreign Trade Zones/Free Ports

Rwanda is a member of the East African Community (EAC), and participates in a customs union that helps facilitate the movement of goods produced in the region and allows EAC citizens with certain skills to work in any member state. Rwanda is also a member of the Economic Community of the Great Lakes (CEPGL), along with the DRC and Burundi; and of COMESA, which includes Rwanda, Burundi, Comoros, DRC, Djibouti, Egypt, Ethiopia, Kenya, Libya, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritius, Seychelles, Sudan, Swaziland, Uganda, and Zimbabwe. COMESA countries have a free trade agreement that permits goods originating in member states and that comply with certain rules of origin to enter other member markets duty free. Value addition on imported raw materials must reach three percent to qualify for duty free entry.

Rwanda has established a free trade zone outside the capital, Kigali, which includes current and planned future communications infrastructure. Bonded warehouse facilities are now available both in and outside of Kigali for use by businesses importing duty free materials.

18. Foreign Direct Investment Statistics

TABLE 2: Key Macroeconomic data, Rwanda Gross Domestic Product

	National Institute of Statistics Rwanda	World Bank	USG or international Source of data (Source of Data: BEA; IMF; Eurostat; UNCTAD, Other)
--	---	------------	---

Economic Data	Year	Amount	Year	Amount	
Host Country Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (Millions U.S. Dollars)	2012	6,411	2012	7,103	

TABLE 3: Sources and Destination of FDI

Direct Investment from/in Counterpart Economy Data					
From Top Five Sources/To Top Five Destinations (US Dollars, Millions)					
Inward Direct Investment			Outward Direct Investment		
Total Inward	495	100%	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
South Africa	110	22%			
Libya	58	12%			
Mauritius	55	11%			
Kenya	54	11%			
Netherlands	36	7%			
"0" reflects amounts rounded to +/- USD 500,000.					

Source: International Monetary Fund

Section 5 - Government

Chiefs of State and Cabinet Members:

For the current list of Chief of State and Cabinet Members, please access the following - [Central Intelligence Agency online directory of Chiefs of State and Cabinet Members of Foreign Governments](#)

Legal system:

Mixed legal system of civil law, based on German and Belgian models, and customary law; judicial review of legislative acts in the Supreme Court

International organization participation:

ACP, AfDB, AU, C, CEPGL, COMESA, EAC, EADB, FAO, G-77, IAEA, IBRD, ICAO, ICRM, IDA, IFAD, IFC, IFRC, ILO, IMF, Interpol, IOC, IOM, IPU, ISO (correspondent), ITSO, ITU, ITUC (NGOs), MIGA, NAM, OIF, OPCW, PCA, UN, UN Security Council (temporary), UNAMID, UNCTAD, UNESCO, UNHCR, UNIDO, UNISFA, UNMISS, UNWTO, UPU, WCO, WHO, WIPO, WMO, WTO

Section 6 - Tax

Exchange control

There are no exchange control restrictions in Rwanda but some restrictions are imposed on the import and export of capital.

Treaty and non-treaty withholding tax rates

Tax treaties have been ratified with Mauritius and Belgium

Methodology and Sources

Section 1 - General Background Report and Map

(Source: [CIA World Factbook](#))

Section 2 - Anti – Money Laundering / Terrorist Financing

	Lower Risk	Medium Risk	Higher Risk
FATF List of Countries identified with strategic AML deficiencies	Not Listed	AML Deficient but Committed	High Risk
Compliance with FATF 40 + 9 recommendations	>69% Compliant or Fully Compliant	35 – 69% Compliant or Fully Compliant	<35% Compliant or Fully Compliant
US Dept of State Money Laundering assessment (INCSR)	Monitored	Concern	Primary Concern
INCSR - Weakness in Government Legislation	<2	2-4	5-20
US Sec of State supporter of / Safe Haven for International Terrorism	No	Safe Haven for Terrorism	State Supporter of Terrorism
EU White list equivalent jurisdictions	Yes		No
International Sanctions UN Sanctions / US Sanctions / EU Sanctions	None	Arab League / Other	UN , EU or US
Corruption Index (Transparency International) Control of corruption (WGI) Global Advice Network	>69%	35 – 69%	<35%
World government Indicators (Average)	>69%	35 – 69%	<35%
Failed States Index (Average)	>69%	35 – 69%	<35%
Offshore Finance Centre	No		Yes

Section 3 - Economy

General Information on the current economic climate in the country and information on imports, exports, main industries and trading partners.

(Source: [CIA World Factbook](#))

Section 4 - Foreign Investment

Information on the openness of foreign investment into the country and the foreign investment markets.

(Source: [US State Department](#))

Section 5 - Government

Names of Government Ministers and general information on political matters.

(Source: [CIA World Factbook](#) / <https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/world-leaders-1/index.html>)

Section 6 - Tax

Information on Tax Information Exchange Agreements entered into, Double Tax Agreements and Exchange Controls.

(Sources: [OECD Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information for Tax Purposes](#) [PKF International](#))

DISCLAIMER

Part of this report contains material sourced from third party websites. This material could include technical inaccuracies or typographical errors. The materials in this report are provided "as is" and without warranties of any kind either expressed or implied, to the fullest extent permissible pursuant to applicable law. Neither are any warranties or representations made regarding the use of or the result of the use of the material in the report in terms of their correctness, accuracy, reliability, or otherwise. Materials in this report do not constitute financial or other professional advice.

We disclaim any responsibility for the content available on any other site reached by links to or from the website.

RESTRICTION OF LIABILITY

Although full endeavours are made to ensure that the material in this report is correct, no liability will be accepted for any damages or injury caused by, including but not limited to, inaccuracies or typographical errors within the material, Neither will liability be accepted for any damages or injury, including but not limited to, special or consequential damages that result from the use of, or the inability to use, the materials in this report. Total liability to you for all losses, damages, and causes of action (in contract, tort (including without limitation, negligence), or otherwise) will not be greater than the amount you paid for the report.

RESTRICTIONS ON USE

All Country Reports accessed and/or downloaded and/or printed from the website may not be distributed, republished, uploaded, posted, or transmitted in any way outside of your organization, without our prior consent. Restrictions in force by the websites of source information will also apply.

We prohibit caching and the framing of any Content available on the website without prior written consent.

Any questions or queries should be addressed to: -

Gary Youinou

Via our [Contact Page](#) at KnowYourCountry.com