

Denmark

RISK & COMPLIANCE REPORT

DATE: January 2017

Executive Summary

Sanctions:	None
FAFT list of AML Deficient Countries	No
Medium Risk Areas:	Non - Compliance with FATF 40 + 9 Recommendations
<p>Major Investment Areas:</p> <p>Agriculture - products:</p> <p>barley, wheat, potatoes, sugar beets; pork, dairy products; fish</p> <p>Industries:</p> <p>iron, steel, nonferrous metals, chemicals, food processing, machinery and transportation equipment, textiles and clothing, electronics, construction, furniture and other wood products, shipbuilding and refurbishment, windmills, pharmaceuticals, medical equipment</p> <p>Exports - commodities:</p> <p>machinery and instruments, meat and meat products, dairy products, fish, pharmaceuticals, furniture, windmills</p> <p>Exports - partners:</p> <p>Germany 15.9%, Sweden 13.5%, UK 9.6%, US 6.6%, Norway 6.3%, Netherlands 4.6% (2012)</p> <p>Imports - commodities:</p> <p>machinery and equipment, raw materials and semimanufactures for industry, chemicals, grain and foodstuffs, consumer goods</p> <p>Imports - partners:</p> <p>Germany 20.8%, Sweden 13.3%, Netherlands 7.4%, China 6.3%, Norway 6.2%, UK 5.6% (2012)</p>	
<p>Investment Restrictions:</p> <p>Denmark is highly dependent on foreign trade, with exports being the largest component of GDP, and international cooperation. Danish trade and investment policies are liberal and encourage foreign investment.</p>	

Like most other countries, Denmark imposes restrictions on establishing companies providing professional services (e.g., legal, accounting, auditing, and medical services).

Contents

Section 1 - Background	4
Section 2 - Anti – Money Laundering / Terrorist Financing	5
FATF status.....	5
Compliance with FATF Recommendations.....	5
US Department of State Money Laundering assessment (INCSR)	5
Reports.....	8
International Sanctions.....	12
Bribery & Corruption.....	13
Section 3 - Economy	14
Banking.....	15
Stock Exchange.....	15
Section 4 - Investment Climate	16
Section 5 - Government	38
Section 6 - Tax	39
Methodology and Sources	43

Section 1 - Background

Once the seat of Viking raiders and later a major north European power, Denmark has evolved into a modern, prosperous nation that is participating in the general political and economic integration of Europe. It joined NATO in 1949 and the EEC (now the EU) in 1973. However, the country has opted out of certain elements of the European Union's Maastricht Treaty, including the European Economic and Monetary Union (EMU), European defense cooperation, and issues concerning certain justice and home affairs.



Section 2 - Anti – Money Laundering / Terrorist Financing

FATF status

Denmark is not on the FATF List of Countries that have been identified as having strategic AML deficiencies

Compliance with FATF Recommendations

In October 2010, The FATF recognized that Denmark had made significant progress in implementing the 49 recommendations and that from that date it should report on a biennial basis on the actions it will take in the AML/CFT area.

US Department of State Money Laundering assessment (INCSR)

Denmark was deemed a 'Monitored' Jurisdiction by the US Department of State 2016 International Narcotics Control Strategy Report (INCSR).

Key Findings from the report are as follows: -

Perceived Risks:

Denmark does not have a serious problem in the area of financial crimes. Money laundering activity is generally derived from foreign criminal activity and is primarily related to the sale of illegal narcotics, specifically cocaine, heroin, and amphetamines. Immigrant gangs as well as outlaw motorcycle gangs have been involved in a range of offenses, including narcotics-related offenses, smuggling of goods, and various financial crimes. The Danish Special Crimes unit also believes human trafficking, car theft, robberies, smuggling of alcohol and tobacco, and tax or duties fraud also generate laundered funds. Illegal money remittances and foreign exchange services and their possible link to terror finance pose risks. There are no indications of trade- based money laundering as it relates to drug trafficking in Denmark, and public corruption is virtually non-existent. Denmark is geographically vulnerable to serving as a transit country for smuggling into Sweden and Norway.

DO FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS ENGAGE IN CURRENCY TRANSACTIONS RELATED TO INTERNATIONAL NARCOTICS TRAFFICKING THAT INCLUDE SIGNIFICANT AMOUNTS OF US CURRENCY; CURRENCY DERIVED FROM ILLEGAL SALES IN THE U.S.; OR ILLEGAL DRUG SALES THAT OTHERWISE SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECT THE U.S.: NO

CRIMINALIZATION OF MONEY LAUNDERING:

"All serious crimes" approach or "list" approach to predicate crimes: All serious crimes

Are legal persons covered: criminally: YES civilly: NO

KNOW-YOUR-CUSTOMER (KYC) RULES:

Enhanced due diligence procedures for PEPs: Foreign: YES Domestic: NO
KYC covered entities: Banks, electronic money institutions, and currency exchanges; insurance brokers and intermediaries; pension and mutual funds; securities brokers and dealers; portfolio, asset, and capital managers; financial leasing and factoring entities; issuers and processors of credit cards, traveler's checks, and money orders; accountants and auditors; real estate agents; trust and company service providers; attorneys; real estate agents; and casinos

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS:

Number of STRs received and time frame: 14,051: January 1 – November 23, 2015
Number of CTRs received and time frame: Not applicable
STR covered entities: Banks, electronic money institutions, and currency exchanges; insurance brokers and intermediaries; pension and mutual funds; securities brokers and dealers; portfolio, asset, and capital managers; financial leasing and factoring entities; issuers and processors of credit cards, traveler's checks, and money orders; accountants and auditors; real estate agents; trust and company service providers; attorneys; real estate agents; and casinos

MONEY LAUNDERING CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS/CONVICTIONS:

Prosecutions: Not available
Convictions: Not available

RECORDS EXCHANGE MECHANISM:

With U.S.: MLAT: NO Other mechanism: YES
With other governments/jurisdictions: YES

Denmark is a member of FATF.

ENFORCEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES AND COMMENTS:

The Government of Denmark has a comprehensive AML/CFT regime.

Businesses which do not have a reporting obligation under the AML legislation, for example, car dealerships, are prohibited from receiving cash amounts of 50,000 DKK (approximately \$7,500) or more. The Danish Customs Act mandates cross-border currency declarations for amounts over 10,000 EUR (approximately \$11,140). Businesses and private citizens are obligated to pay for goods and services digitally if the amount exceeds 10,000 DKK (approximately \$1,500), including value added tax (VAT).

The Somali immigrant community sends large sums out of Denmark every year. It is believed a substantial amount is sent to designated terrorist organizations via informal remittances. Much of the funding is routed via Dubai.

The Danish Special Crimes Unit sees the doubling of suspicious transaction reports from 2014 to 2015 as the result of increased awareness and sustained action to combat money laundering and threat finance. The Unit estimates the money laundering potential in Denmark as approximately \$3 billion per year. The Unit believes money remittance and

foreign exchange services pose the greatest risks and is therefore focusing on these areas. In 2015, the authorities issued a reprimand and placed administrative orders with Euronet, a foreign exchange service company and subsidiary of Euronet Worldwide Inc.

Denmark should continue to enhance its laws and regulations as necessary to adhere to international standards, including by extending its AML/CFT requirements to cover gaming establishments and internet gaming providers. Authorities should closely monitor informal alternative remittance systems, such as hawala, and possible links to money laundering and terror finance.

Current Weaknesses in Government Legislation (2013 INCRS Comparative Tables):

According to the US State Department, Denmark does not conform with regard to the following government legislation: -

Record Large Transactions - By law or regulation, banks are required to maintain records of large transactions in currency or other monetary instruments.

EU White list of Equivalent Jurisdictions

Denmark is on the EU White list of Equivalent Jurisdictions

World Governance indicators

[To view historic Governance Indicators Ctrl + Click here and then select country](#)

Failed States Index

[To view Failed States Index Ctrl + Click here](#)

Offshore Financial Centre

Denmark is not considered to be an Offshore Financial Centre

US State Dept Trafficking in Persons Report 2014:

Denmark is classified a Tier 1 country - is a country whose government fully complies with the Trafficking Victims Protection Act's (TVPA) minimum standards.

Denmark is primarily a destination country for men, women, and children subjected to forced labor and sex trafficking from Africa, Southeast Asia, Eastern Europe, and Latin America. Migrants working in agriculture, domestic service, restaurants, hotels, and factories are subjected to labor trafficking through debt bondage, withheld wages, abuse, and threats of deportation. During the year, experts reported increased awareness of forced labor crimes and victims in labor sectors, including Vietnamese migrants subjected to forced labor on illegal cannabis farms in the country. Unaccompanied migrant children are vulnerable to sex trafficking and forced labor, including theft and other forced criminality. There was at least one case of a foreign diplomat posted in Denmark who subjected a household worker to domestic servitude in 2013. Copenhagen's relatively small red-light district represents only a portion of the country's larger commercial sex trade, which includes forced prostitution in brothels, bars, strip clubs, and private apartments.

The Government of Denmark fully complies with the minimum standards for the elimination of trafficking. During the year, the government launched its first prosecutions of forced labor offenders and identified an increased number of trafficking victims. While the government increased support for victims who agreed to participate in its prepared return program, the government's primary emphasis on the repatriation of victims resulted in few convictions of trafficking offenders, and few other viable options or alternatives to removal for victims who may face retribution in their countries of origin. The government's lack of incentives for victims to participate in the prosecution of their traffickers remained a significant obstacle to the government's ability to bring traffickers to justice in Denmark. The government provided trafficking victims who lacked legal status with an extended time period for departure from the country if they agreed to cooperate in their repatriation; however, it did not demonstrate that it provided temporary residency permits or other forms of immigration relief to trafficking victims to specifically encourage their participation in the prosecution of their perpetrators in 2013. Finally, the government prosecuted identified trafficking victims during the year, punishing them for crimes they committed as a direct result of being trafficked.

US State Dept Terrorism Report 2016

Overview: The Kingdom of Denmark (Denmark, Greenland, and the Faroe Islands) devotes significant assets to counterterrorism programs and initiatives to counter violent extremism domestically and abroad. Denmark cooperates closely with the United States, the United Nations (UN), and the EU on specific counterterrorism initiatives, including the Global Counterterrorism Forum (GCTF).

On February 14-15, terrorist attacks on a free speech forum and synagogue in Copenhagen demonstrated that Denmark remains a prominent target for terrorists. The 2005 publication

(and reprinting in 2010) of political cartoons depicting the Prophet Muhammad, and the country's continued involvement in the Global Coalition to Counter the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL), make Denmark a target for violent Islamist extremists.

According to the Danish Police Intelligence Service (PET), at least 125 Danish citizens and residents have voluntarily left Denmark to fight in Syria and Iraq. A significant number have likely fought on behalf of ISIL and other violent extremist groups. Danish security services monitor individuals who have left and track those who return to Denmark. PET remains concerned that Danish fighters returning to Denmark with terrorist training will seek to radicalize others.

Danish security agencies worked together to counter ISIL's attempts to recruit foreign terrorist fighters and prevent terrorist attacks in Denmark. Denmark has contributed strike and support aircraft, support personnel, and military trainers to the Counter-ISIL Coalition. Also, Denmark authorized the deployment of further combat support assets and 30 uniformed personnel during 2015.

2015 Terrorist Attacks: On February 14 and 15, 2015, Omar Abdel Hamid opened fire at a public event called "Art, Blasphemy and Freedom of Expression," which featured debate over images of the Prophet Mohammed. One person was killed and three police officers were wounded. Later, Hamid shot and killed a security guard outside Copenhagen's Main Synagogue during a bat mitzvah celebration and wounded two police officers at the same site. Hamid was killed a few hours later in a shoot-out with police in the Nørrebro community.

Legislation, Law Enforcement, and Border Security: Denmark continued to use its 2006 terrorism legislation that allows enhanced information sharing between Denmark's two intelligence services, PET and the Danish Defense Intelligence Service (DDIS). The legislation also permits official surveillance and wiretapping of terrorist suspects with a valid warrant. Danish security and law enforcement organizations engage in information sharing through the Center for Terror Analysis (CTA), the Danish government's intelligence fusion center, which merges reporting from PET, DDIS, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, and the Danish Emergency Management Agency.

The Danish National Police and the Police Intelligence Service are responsible for the country's counterterrorism mission. These two divisions of the MOJ coordinate their response with the Ministry of Defense's (MOD) Defense Intelligence Service (DDIS) and the Danish Commission on Counterterrorism and Foreign Terrorist Fighters.

Denmark's national police force is organized into 12 districts. During 2015, the government increased its focus on improving police capabilities, particularly their ability to protect Danish citizens. The February 14-15 terrorist attacks in Copenhagen led to the introduction of a new policing bill that increased the funding for the Danish national police force by US \$286 million. The bill also reallocated staffing throughout Denmark and increased class sizes at the Danish national police academy.

Denmark instituted heightened border security protocols via document checks for travel to countries outside the Schengen area. Denmark revokes passports, but not citizenship, of individuals found attempting to travel to Syria and Iraq. Individuals who participate in terrorist activities may be stripped of Danish citizenship if the loss of nationality does not render the

individual stateless. Denmark possesses biographic and biometric screening capabilities at ports of entry and readily shares information within its own government and with other countries, as appropriate. Security forces patrol and control Denmark's land and maritime borders. Denmark is a member of the Schengen Agreement. However, as a consequence of the ongoing refugee and humanitarian crisis in Europe, in late 2015, officials began discussions on imposing temporary border controls with Germany. There were no passport controls at land borders or airport terminals servicing Schengen area flights in 2015.

Counterterrorism-related law enforcement actions included:

- Omar Abdel Hamid opened fire at public events on February 14-15, and was subsequently killed a few hours later in a shoot-out with police in the Nørrebro community.
- In November, Danish police and the Danish Emergency Management Agency hosted a counterterrorism simulation; 36 government ministries were tested in a real-time crisis management simulation. The exercise focused on the police response to an armed terrorist attack in the capital. The live exercise was conducted to improve police performance and readiness in case of further terrorist attacks.

Countering the Financing of Terrorism: Denmark is a member of the Financial Action Task Force (FATF). Its Money Laundering Secretariat is a member of the Egmont Group, and cooperates closely with other Nordic financial intelligence units. Danish authorities can freeze assets within hours or days with a valid court order, however, the confiscation process requires a full trial which may take months to years depending on the appeals process. The Danish government continued an initiative focused on combating money laundering and terrorism financing in East Africa and Yemen. Denmark continued its efforts to build partnerships that teach anti-money laundering and counterterrorism finance techniques to the governments of Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Kenya, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, Tanzania, Uganda, and Yemen.

Countering Violent Extremism: In January 2015, the Danish Parliament agreed to implement the national CVE action plan, a \$10 million program that includes increased funding for prevention efforts, a de-radicalization exit program, mentoring for at-risk youth, and increased monitoring of internet-based messages and propaganda by groups that advocate terrorism or violent extremism. The Danish CVE action plan focuses on early prevention, with a network of trained mentors and youth-to-youth dialogues, as well as preventing online radicalization by educating youth to identify terrorist propaganda on the internet. Denmark has allocated law enforcement resources to monitor the internet for propaganda messaging by groups that espouse terrorism or violent extremism. The action plan created a national rapid response team to prevent Danish youth from traveling abroad to join armed conflicts. It also funds a new facility that will house a de-radicalization center. The plan expands local officials' authority to use social services for helping de-radicalized young adults between the age of 18 and 25, a change that is expected to improve cooperation between social workers, law enforcement, schools, and national counterterrorism agencies. Finally, the plan funds training for municipal-level employees on how to spot and counter radicalization.

Following the February 14 and 15 terrorist attacks, the Danish government initiated efforts to prevent radicalization and extremism in the country's prisons (Omar Abdel Hamid, the attacker in the February attacks in Copenhagen, is believed to have become radicalized while serving one of his prison sentences prior to the attack). The reforms allocated additional

funds for training of staff on radicalization, and the development of a mentoring program that focused on inmates convicted of terrorism or deemed to be vulnerable to radicalization. In November, the Danish city of Aarhus hosted the 2015 European Forum for Urban Security. The location was chosen for the city's exemplary efforts in countering violent extremism and radicalization. The "Aarhus model" focuses on preventing radicalization by working with at-risk citizens to improve their possibilities for inclusion in society helping them to develop better life skills and thus counter the marginalization often experienced by immigrants in Denmark. The conference was broadly attended by security and development experts from Asia, Europe, the Middle East, and North America. Also in November, Aarhus hosted an international conference entitled "Building Resilience to Radicalization and Violent Extremism," which attracted more than 300 people from 36 countries. The conference was co-branded as a Strong Cities Network event.

The Danish government launched a new counter-radicalization initiative in 2015, led by the NGO ActionAid Denmark. The initiative trains Jordanian imams to spot and counter radicalization within their communities. The imams are taught strategies to make their community members more resilient against radicalization and to raise awareness of the dangers of radicalization. The Danish government allocated US \$54 million in support of this program.

International and Regional Cooperation: The Danish government is committed to working within the UN framework, through the EU, and with other international and regional organizations. Denmark actively participates in the UN, GCTF, EU, the Council of Europe, the OSCE, NATO, INTERPOL, Europol, the Bern Club, and the EU Counterterrorism Group.

International Sanctions

None applicable

Bribery & Corruption

Index	Rating (100-Good / 0-Bad)
Transparency International Corruption Index	90
World Governance Indicator – Control of Corruption	98

US State Department

According to the 2013 Corruption Perceptions Index by Transparency International, Denmark is the least corrupt country in the world, along with New Zealand. Transparency International has local representation in Denmark.

Corruption is covered under the Danish Penal Code, and the Ministry of Justice is responsible for combating corruption. Penalties for violations range from fines to imprisonment of up to four years for a private individual's involvement and up to six years for a public employee's involvement. Since 1998, Danish businesses cannot claim a tax deduction for the cost of bribes paid to officials abroad. Denmark is a signatory of the OECD Convention on Combating Bribery and the UN Anticorruption Convention, and a participating member of the OECD Working Group on Bribery. In the Working Group's Phase 3 report on Denmark, they urged Denmark to be more proactive in its investigations.

Corruption and Government Transparency - Report by Global Security

According to the 2012 Corruption Perceptions Index by Transparency International, Denmark is the least corrupt country in the world along with Finland and New Zealand. Transparency International has local representation in Denmark.

Corruption is covered under the Danish Penal Code, and the Ministry of Justice is responsible for combating corruption. Penalties for violations range from fines to imprisonment of up to four years for a private individual's involvement and up to six years for a public employee's involvement. Since 1998, Danish businesses cannot claim a tax deduction for the cost of bribes paid to officials abroad. Denmark is a signatory of the OECD Convention on Combating Bribery and the UN Anticorruption Convention.

Section 3 - Economy

This thoroughly modern market economy features a high-tech agricultural sector, state-of-the-art industry with world-leading firms in pharmaceuticals, maritime shipping and renewable energy, and a high dependence on foreign trade. Denmark is a member of the European Union (EU); Danish legislation and regulations conform to EU standards on almost all issues. Danes enjoy a high standard of living and the Danish economy is characterized by extensive government welfare measures and an equitable distribution of income. Denmark is a net exporter of food and energy and enjoys a comfortable balance of payments surplus, but depends on imports of raw materials for the manufacturing sector. Within the EU, Denmark is among the strongest supporters of trade liberalization. After a long consumption-driven upswing, Denmark's economy began slowing in 2007 with the end of a housing boom. Housing prices dropped markedly in 2008-09 and, following a short respite in 2010, have since continued to decline. Household indebtedness is still relatively high at more than 275% of gross disposable income in the first half of 2013. The global financial crisis has exacerbated this cyclical slowdown through increased borrowing costs and lower export demand, consumer confidence, and investment. Denmark made a modest recovery in 2010, in part because of increased government spending; however, the country experienced a technical recession in late 2010-early 2011. Historically low levels of unemployment rose sharply with the recession and have remained at about 6% in 2010-13, based on the national measure, about two-thirds average EU unemployment. An impending decline in the ratio of workers to retirees will be a major long-term issue. Denmark maintained a healthy budget surplus for many years up to 2008, but the budget balance swung into deficit in 2009, where it remains. In spite of the deficits, the new coalition government delivered a modest stimulus to the economy in 2012. Nonetheless, Denmark's fiscal position remains among the strongest in the EU with public debt at about 46% of GDP in 2013. Despite previously meeting the criteria to join the European Economic and Monetary Union (EMU), so far Denmark has decided not to join, although the Danish krone remains pegged to the euro.

Agriculture - products:

barley, wheat, potatoes, sugar beets; pork, dairy products; fish

Industries:

iron, steel, nonferrous metals, chemicals, food processing, machinery and transportation equipment, textiles and clothing, electronics, construction, furniture and other wood products, shipbuilding and refurbishment, windmills, pharmaceuticals, medical equipment

Exports - commodities:

machinery and instruments, meat and meat products, dairy products, fish, pharmaceuticals, furniture, windmills

Exports - partners:

Germany 15.9%, Sweden 13.5%, UK 9.6%, US 6.6%, Norway 6.3%, Netherlands 4.6% (2012)

Imports - commodities:

machinery and equipment, raw materials and semimanufactures for industry, chemicals, grain and foodstuffs, consumer goods

Imports - partners:

Germany 20.8%, Sweden 13.3%, Netherlands 7.4%, China 6.3%, Norway 6.2%, UK 5.6% (2012)

Banking

The vast majority of small-to-medium-sized Danish firms are financed by commercial bank lines of credit. The credit line is typically extended on a continuous, revolving basis and is not subject to an annual settlement. Such credit lines are usually established to finance day-to-day operations, including inventory financing. Larger corporations may obtain capital through stock offerings on the Copenhagen Stock Exchange. Some larger Danish companies may also make use of other international stock exchanges. Institutional investors, such as pension funds, also play a major role in financing Danish companies, and such investments are usually carried out following individual negotiations. Financing is often a problem for small-to medium-sized companies with high growth, or growth potential. Frequently, such companies choose to or are forced to solve their financing problems by selling out to foreign corporations. During the last decade, the concept of venture capital has also caught on in Denmark and can now be described as an established method of financing. However, venture capital funding applies primarily to IT and biotechnology companies.

Stock Exchange

The Danish stock market functions efficiently and in 2005, the Copenhagen Stock Exchange became part of the integrated Nordic and Baltic market place, OMX Exchanges, headquartered in Stockholm. Besides Stockholm and Copenhagen, OMX also includes the stock exchanges in Helsinki, Tallinn, Riga and Vilnius. In order to increase the access to capital for primarily small companies, the OMX in December 2005 opened a Nordic alternative marketplace -- "First North" -- in Denmark. In February 2008 the exchanges were acquired by the Nasdaq OMX Group.

Executive Summary

Denmark, regarded by some independent observers as one of the world's most attractive business environments, is characterized by political, economic and regulatory stability. It is a member of the European Union (EU), and Danish legislation and regulations conform to EU standards on almost all issues. Denmark conducts a fixed exchange rate policy with the Danish Krone linked closely to the Euro. Denmark is a social welfare state with a thoroughly modern market economy reliant on free trade in both goods and services. It is a net exporter of food and energy, but depends on raw material imports for its manufacturing sector.

Within the EU, Denmark is among the strongest supporters of liberal trade policy. Growth has been lackluster, as Denmark still suffers from the financial crisis and previous over-investment in commercial, private, and agricultural real estate, and from personal over-leveraging by Danish consumers, which contribute to a low level of private investment and consumption. Seasonally-adjusted real GDP was at the same level at the end of 2013 as in the third quarter of 2010. GDP grew by 0.4% in 2013; a real recovery is expected to emerge in 2014 and strengthen in 2015. The Danish government and most experts estimate 1.5% GDP growth in 2014 and 2% in 2015, driven by exports and private consumption. The structural deficit is estimated at about the -0.5% allowed by the EU's Medium-Term budgetary Objectives. Unemployment is currently 5.4%, and is forecast to decrease slightly in the coming years as the economy improves and structural reforms take effect.

The underlying macroeconomic conditions are relatively sound, and the investment climate is favorable. Denmark is situated strategically, linking continental Europe with the Nordic and Baltic countries. The transport and communications infrastructures are efficient. Denmark is among the world's leaders in industries such as information technology, life sciences, clean energy technologies, and shipping. Exchange rate conversions throughout this document are based on the 2013 average exchange Danish Kroner (DKK) 5.616= 1 USD.

1. Openness To, and Restrictions Upon, Foreign Investment

Denmark is a small country with an open economy. Denmark is highly dependent on foreign trade, with exports being the largest component of GDP, and international cooperation. Danish trade and investment policies are liberal and encourage foreign investment.

In general, investment policies are forward-looking and aimed at fostering and developing businesses, especially in high-growth sectors. A 2014 business environment survey from the Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU) for the period 2014–2018 ranked Denmark tenth globally and fourth regionally as the most attractive nation for foreign investment. The EIU characterizes Denmark's business environment as among the most attractive in the world, reflecting a sound macroeconomic framework, excellent infrastructure and a highly flexible labor market. Main concerns relate to the ability to deal with a shrinking labor supply and the prospects for macroeconomic instability, stemming from possible contagion from the Euro Area's sovereign debt crisis. Several factors are included in the survey, and Denmark scores top marks in various categories such as the political and institutional environment,

macroeconomic stability, policy towards private enterprise, foreign investment policy, financing and infrastructure.

As of October 2013, the EIU rates Denmark as an A country on its Country Risk Service with a stable outlook, with sovereign risk at AA and political risk at AAA. Denmark ranked fifteenth on the Global Competitiveness Report 2013-2014 from the World Economic Forum, fifth on the World Bank's Doing Business 2013 ranking, and fourth on the EIU Democracy Index 2012. "The Big Three" credit rating agencies (Standard & Poor's, Moody's, and Fitch Group) all rank Denmark as AAA.

According to the Danish central bank, the total stock of foreign direct investment into Denmark in 2012 was DKK 788.2 billion (current prices, exclusive of pass-through investments; equivalent to approximately USD136 billion), corresponding to 43% of GDP. U.S. investments of DKK 56 billion (USD 9.7 billion) in Denmark accounted for 7.1% of total FDI stock in 2012. The United States is the fifth largest foreign investor in Denmark, surpassed by Sweden (DKK 183.1 billion), Netherlands (DKK 104.9 billion), Luxembourg (DKK 73.3billion), and the United Kingdom (DKK 56.1 billion).

The government agency "Invest in Denmark" is part of the Danish Trade Council and is situated within the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. The agency provides detailed information to potential investors. The website for the agency is www.investindk.com. "Invest in the Faroes" is the unit in the Faroese government concerned with promoting Faroese trade; the website is www.invest.fo/. For more information regarding the investment potential in Greenland, please see Greenland Holding at www.venture.gl or Greenland Tourism & Business Council at www.greenland.com.

The Danish central and regional governments encourage foreign investment on a national-treatment basis. There is no mandatory screening of foreign investment. The government allocated funds in the 2012 national budget for intensified supervision and enforcement of taxation for multinationals, to ensure their compliance with the Danish tax regime. Beginning in December 2012, corporate tax records of all companies operating in Denmark were made public. The records are updated annually.

According to the Danish Competition Act, the Competition Authorities require notification of mergers and takeovers if the combined turnover of the participating companies exceeds DKK 50 million (approx. USD 8.6 million). However, notification is not required if one of the participating companies has turnover of less than DKK 10 million (approx. USD 1.8 million). If the combined turnover of the merging companies exceed DKK 900 million (approx. USD 155 million) and at least two of the merging companies each have turnover exceeding DKK 100 million (approx. USD 17.3 million) or if one of the merging companies has domestic annual turnover exceeding DKK 3.8 billion (approx. USD 655 million) and at least one of the merging companies has global annual turnover exceeding DKK 3.8 billion (approx. USD 655 million), the merger or takeover is subject to approval by the Competition Authorities. The EU Commission's approval must also be obtained for large scale mergers.

There are certain restrictions on the acquisition of real estate in Denmark by foreigners. EU citizens and companies from EU member states can purchase any type of real estate, except vacation properties, without prior authorization from the authorities. Companies and individuals from non-EU countries that have been present/resident in Denmark for at least five

years in total and are currently resident in Denmark can also purchase real estate, except vacation properties, without prior authorization. Non-EU companies or individuals that do not meet these requirements can only purchase real estate with the permission of the Danish Ministry of Justice. Permission is freely given to people with a Danish residency permit, except with regard to purchases of vacation properties. Purchases of designated vacation properties are restricted to citizens of Denmark. See section regarding limits on foreign ownership and control in certain sectors for further information.

Ranking in Indices:

Measure	Year	Index/Ranking
TI Corruption Index	2013	Score 91 - Rank 1 st - unchanged
Heritage Economic Freedom	2014	Score 76.1 - Rank 10th (11th in 2012)
World Bank Doing Business	2014	Rank 5th – unchanged, 1 st in Europe
IMD - The World Competitiveness Scoreboard	2013	Rank 12th (13th in 2012)
World Economic Forum - Global Competitiveness Report	2013 - 2014	Rank 15th (12th in 2012 – 2013)
EIU Democracy Index	2012	Rank 4th (3rd in 2011)
Global Innovation Index	2013	Rank 9th (7th in 2012)
World Bank GNI per capita	2012	USD 59,850

Denmark is a major international development assistance donor, contributing DKK 15.59 billion (USD 2.7 billion) or 0.83 of GDI to development assistance in 2012. The Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs signed a Memorandum of Understanding in 2008 to increase cooperation.

2. Conversion and Transfer Policies

Denmark has not introduced the Euro currency. The country meets the EU's economic convergence criteria for membership, and can join if it wishes to do so. (The budget deficit for 2013, -0.8%, is well below the 3% Stability & Growth Pact limit). The Danish political reservation concerning Euro participation can only be abolished by referendum, and Danish voters twice (in 1992 and 2000) turned down the introduction of the Euro via national referenda. The government has stated it will not hold another referendum on the Euro before the next national election (slated for 2015). Regular polling on this issue shows a majority of public opinion in favor of keeping the Krone – 64.4% against joining the Euro, versus 33.1% in favor, according to a December 2013 Danske Bank poll.

Denmark conducts a fixed exchange rate policy with the Danish Krone linked closely to the Euro within the framework of ERM II. The Danish Krone (DKK; plural: Kroner) has a fluctuation band of +/- 2.25% of the central rate of DKK 746.038 per 100 Euro. As of April 2014, the Danish Government had not yet decided whether Denmark will join a European Banking Union.

There are no restrictions on converting or transferring funds associated with an investment into or out of Denmark. Policies in place are intended to facilitate the free flow of capital and to support the flow of resources in the product and services markets. Foreign investors can obtain credit in the local market at normal market terms, and a wide range of credit instruments is available.

3. Expropriation and Compensation

By law, private property can only be expropriated for public purposes, in a non-discriminatory manner, with reasonable compensation, and in accordance with established principles of international law. There have been no recent expropriations of significance in Denmark and there is no reason to expect significant expropriations in the near future.

4. Dispute Settlement

There have been no major disputes over investment in Denmark in recent years. The judicial system is extremely well-regarded and considered fair. The legal system is independent of the legislative branch of the government and is based on a centuries-old legal tradition. It includes written and consistently applied commercial and bankruptcy laws, and secured interests in property are recognized and enforced. The World Economic Forum's 2013-2014 Global Competitiveness Report, which ranks Denmark as the world's fifteenth most competitive economy and sixth among the EU28, characterizes it as having among the best-functioning and most transparent institutions in the world. In addition, Denmark ranks high among the evaluated countries on indices related to ethical behavior of firms (ranked 7), irregular payments and bribes (ranked 15), reliability of police services (ranked 11), judicial independence (ranked 8), intellectual property protection (ranked 26), and efficiency of legal framework in settling disputes (ranked 21).

Monetary judgments under the bankruptcy law are made in freely convertible Danish Kroner. The bankruptcy law addresses creditors' claims against a bankruptcy in the following order: (1) costs and debt accrued during the treatment of the bankruptcy; (2) costs, including the court tax, relating to attempts to find a solution other than bankruptcy; (3) wage claims and holiday pay; (4) excise taxes owed to the government; and (5) all other claims.

Denmark is a member of the International Center for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) and is a party to the 1958 Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards. Subsequent Danish legislation makes international arbitration of investment disputes binding in Denmark. In addition, Denmark is a party to the 1961 European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration and to the 1962 agreement relating to the application of this Convention.

5. Performance Requirements and Incentives

Denmark adheres to the WTO Agreement on Trade-related Investment Measures (TRIMs). Performance requirements are applied only in connection with investment in hydrocarbon exploration, where concession terms normally require a fixed work program, including seismic surveys, and in some cases exploratory drilling, consistent with applicable EU directives. Performance requirements are mostly designed to protect the environment, mainly through encouraging reduced use of energy and water. Several environmental and energy

requirements are systematically imposed on households as well as businesses in Denmark, both foreign and domestic. For instance, Denmark was the first of the EU countries, in January 1993, to introduce a carbon dioxide (CO₂) tax on business and industry. However, there are certain reimbursement schemes and subsidy measures to reduce the costs for businesses, thereby safeguarding competitiveness.

Performance incentives are available to both foreign and domestic investors. For instance, foreign and domestic investors in designated regional development areas may take advantage of certain grants and access to preferential financing. Investments in Greenland may be eligible for incentives as well. Denmark does not offer favored treatment to foreign investors. Foreign subsidiaries located in Denmark can participate in government-financed or subsidized research programs on a national-treatment basis.

6. Right to Private Ownership and Establishment

A foreign or domestic private entity may freely establish, own, and dispose of a business enterprise in Denmark. The capital requirement for establishing a corporation (A/S) is DKK 500,000 (approx. USD 86,250) and for establishing a private limited liability company (ApS) DKK 80,000 (approx. USD 13,800). An "Entrepreneurial Company" (IVS) can be established for DKK 1 (USD 0.18). No requirements apply as to the residency of directors and managers of A/S or ApS.

Since October 2004, a private entity may found a European public limited company (SE company). The legal framework of the SE company is to a large degree subject to national company law, but it is possible to change the nationality of the company without liquidation and re-founding. An SE company must be registered at the Danish Business Authority if the official address of the company is in Denmark. The minimum capital requirement is 120,000 Euros (approx. USD 156,000).

Like most other countries, Denmark imposes restrictions on establishing companies providing professional services (e.g., legal, accounting, auditing, and medical services). Danish professional certification and/or local Danish experience is required to practice in Denmark. In some instances, Denmark may accept an equivalent professional certification from other EU or Nordic countries on a reciprocal basis.

Establishment of new, large department stores outside city centers is on a non-discriminatory economic needs-test basis and must be approved by the local authorities. The maximum size of a store may not exceed 3,500 square meters without explicit permission.

Ownership restrictions are applied in the following sectors:

- Hydrocarbon exploration: Requires 20% Danish government participation, but on a "non-carried interest" basis.
- Defense materials: The law governing foreign ownership of defense companies (L538 of May 26, 2010) stipulates that the Minister of Justice has to approve foreign ownership of more than 40% of the equity or more than 20% of the voting rights, or if foreign interests gain a controlling interest in a defense company doing business in Denmark. The approval will be granted unless there are foreign policy considerations or security issues weighing against approval.

- Aircraft: Unless a waiver is granted, non-EU physical and legal persons may not directly own or exercise control over aircraft registered in Denmark.
- Ships registered in the Danish International Ships Register (DIS) must, as a general rule, be Danish-owned. Ships owned by Danish citizens, Danish partnerships or Danish limited liability companies are eligible for registration. Ships owned by EU or European Economic Area (EEA) entities with a genuine link to Denmark are eligible for registration. Also, foreign companies with a major Danish influence can register a ship in the DIS.

7. Protection of Property Rights

Property rights in Denmark are well protected by law and in practice. Intellectual property protections in Denmark are particularly well-regarded. Denmark adheres to key international conventions and treaties concerning protection of property rights. Denmark has ratified the WTO Agreement on Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS). The WIPO (World Intellectual Property Organization) internet treaties, the WIPO Copyright Treaty (WCT) and the WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty (WPPT), have been signed, ratified, and are in force.

For additional information about treaty obligations and points of contact at local IP offices, please see WIPO's country profiles at <http://www.wipo.int/directory/en/>. A list of attorneys in Denmark, known to accept foreign clients can be found at <http://photos.state.gov/libraries/denmark/29778/pdf/FILES/LISTOFATTORNEYSApril2014.pdf>. This list of attorneys and law firms is provided by the American Embassy as a convenience to United States citizens. It is not meant to be a complete list of attorneys in Denmark, and the absence of an attorney from the list is in no way a reflection on competence. A complete list of attorneys in Denmark, Greenland and the Faeroe Islands may be found at the Danish Bar Association web site: www.advokatnoeglen.dk.

Contact at Embassy Copenhagen covering IP: Economic Officer Kirsten B. Selinger.
CopenhagenCS@state.gov.

Real estate is for the most part financed through the well-established Danish mortgage bond credit system, the security of which compares to that of government bonds. To comply with the covered bond definition in the EU Capital Requirements Directive (CRD), the Danish mortgage banking regulation was amended effective July 1, 2007. The new Basel III framework for capital requirements (particularly liquidity requirements in CRD IV/CRR) may present a challenge to the Danish mortgage bond credit system, though a solution seems to have been found. The EU Commission will decide on the liquidity status of Danish covered bonds no later than June 30, 2014.

With the amended Danish mortgage banking regulation, commercial banks now have the same opportunities as mortgage banks and ship-financing institutions to issue covered bonds. Only issuers that have been granted a license from the Danish Financial Supervisory Authority (FSA) are able to issue Danish covered bonds.

Secured interests in property are recognized and enforced in Denmark. All mortgage credits in real estate are recorded in local public registers of mortgages. Except for interests in cars and commercial ships, which are also publicly recorded, other property interests are

generally unrecorded. The local public registers are a reliable system of recording security interests.

8. Transparency of the Regulatory System

Danish laws and policies granting national treatment to foreign investments are designed to support the Danish goal of increasing FDI in Denmark. Denmark applies high standards with regard to health, environment, safety, and labor laws. These policies are universally applied and are not used to impede foreign investment. Danish corporate law is generally in conformity with current EU legislation. The legal, regulatory and accounting systems are relatively transparent and in accordance with international standards. Bureaucratic procedures are streamlined and transparent, and proposed laws and regulations are published in draft form for public comment.

Under the government's "Vækstplan DK" stimulus plan from 2013, the corporate tax rate will gradually be reduced to 22% by 2016 from the current 25%.

As of December 19, 2012 the Ministry of Taxation made all companies' corporate tax records public and updates and publicizes them annually. The publication is intended to increase transparency and public scrutiny of corporate tax payments. Greenland and the Faroe Islands retain their own autonomy with regards to tax policy.

9. Efficient Capital Markets and Portfolio Investment

Denmark has fully liberalized foreign exchange flows, including those for direct and portfolio investment purposes. Credit is allocated on market terms and is freely available. The Danish banking system is under the regulatory oversight of the Financial Supervisory Authority. Like banks in many other countries, Danish banks experienced significant turbulence in 2008 - 2009. In October 2008, the Danish Parliament passed legislation that calls for all private banks and the Danish government to finance jointly a "safety net" program that provides unlimited guarantees for bank deposits and certain classes of bank creditors through September 2010. Both Danish and foreign deposits were covered by the legislation.

A total of 133 banks joined this so-called "Bank Package." In spite of this legislation, some local businesses reportedly complain of tight lending practices and difficulty in obtaining bank financing. When the "Bank Package" expired in September 2010, the Government had a profit from the agreement. In January 2009 a second initiative was passed, "Bank Package 2," which provided government lending to financial institutions in need of capital to uphold their solvency requirements. Only Danish banks were eligible for inclusion in the second initiative. A total of 43 applicants received DKK 46 billion (approximately USD 7.9 billion) through this initiative. A government-run Financial Stability Company was initiated to take over failed banks. By the end of 2010, ten banks had been taken over or divided and sold by the Financial Stability Company. A third package was enacted in July 2010 without a set expiration date, which ensures the orderly management of failed banks through the Financial Stability Company in the period after September 30, 2010 when Bank Package I expired. The package guarantees all deposits up to DKK 750,000 (approx. USD 130,000).

The third Bank Package received much national and international scrutiny for making Denmark one of the EU's toughest jurisdictions in terms of dealing with banks in distress. The

package includes provisions stipulating that senior debt holders will shoulder losses in the event of a bank failure. The failure of Amagerbanken, the tenth largest Danish bank, in February 2011 resulted in some ripple effects for smaller Danish banks, which experienced difficulty in accessing international credit markets, and intensified scrutiny of the financial sector by the rating agencies.

A fourth Bank Package was passed in August 2011 proposing to identify systemically important financial institutions, ensure the liquidity of banks which assume control of a troubled bank, support banks acquiring troubled banks by allowing them to write off obligations of the troubled bank to the government, and change the funding mechanism for the sector-funded guarantee fund to a premium-based, pay-as-you-go system. According to the Danish Government, Bank Package 4 provides mechanisms for a sector solution to troubled banks without senior debt holder losses, but does not supersede Bank Package 3. Senior debt holder losses are still a possibility in the event of a bank failure.

A fifth bank package was passed in March 2012, the "development" package, designed to strengthen growth and export financing and to ease the access to credit of particularly SMEs and the agricultural sector by enabling banks to free up capital to provide new lending. On October 10, 2013 the Danish Minister for Business and Growth concluded a political agreement with broad political support which, based on the most recent financial statements, identified seven financial institutions as "systemically important": Danske Bank, Nykredit, Nordea Bank Danmark, Jyske Bank, BRFkredit, Sydbank and DLR Kredit. They were identified based on three quantitative measures: 1) a balance sheet to GDP above 10%; 2) market share of lending in Denmark above 5%; or 3) market share of deposits in Denmark above 5%. If an institution is above the requirement on any one of the three it will be considered systemically important and must adhere to the stricter requirements on capitalization, liquidity and resolution.

The Danish system of troubled financial institution resolution mechanisms is expected to be revised when the EU Commission presents rules in connection with the EU Banking Union and the single supervisory mechanism (SSM). The national payment system, Nets, was sold to a consortium consisting of Advent International Corp., Bain Capital LLC, and Danish pension fund ATP in March 2014 for DKK 17 billion (USD 3.1 billion). The assets of the three largest Danish banks -- Danske Bank, Nordea Bank Danmark, and Jyske Bank -- constitute approximately 75% of the total assets in the Danish banking sector.

The major Danish banks are rated by international agencies, and their creditworthiness is high by international standards. Following the failures of two Danish banks in 2011 that were resolved through the above mentioned Bank Package 3 resolution mechanism, the larger banks and mortgage issuers were downgraded by the international rating agencies, though their ratings remain at a relatively high level. The major Danish banks all passed European and national stress tests with a considerable margin.

Differentiated voting rights - A and B stocks - are used to some extent and several Danish companies are controlled by foundations, which can restrict potential hostile takeovers including foreign takeovers.

The Danish stock market functions efficiently. In 2005, the Copenhagen Stock Exchange became part of the integrated Nordic and Baltic market place, OMX Exchanges,

headquartered in Stockholm. Besides Stockholm and Copenhagen, OMX also includes the stock exchanges in Helsinki, Tallinn, Riga and Vilnius. In order to increase the access to capital for primarily small companies, the OMX in December 2005 opened a Nordic alternative marketplace -- "First North" -- in Denmark. In February 2008 the exchanges were acquired by the Nasdaq OMX Group.

10. Competition from State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs)

SOEs hold dominant positions in rail, energy utility and broadcast media in Denmark. Large scale public procurement must go through public tender in accordance with EU legislation. Competition from SOEs is not considered a barrier to foreign investment in Denmark. The World Economic Forum's 2013-2014 Global Competitiveness Report ranks Denmark as eighth when it comes to judicial independence and finds that Denmark has among the best functioning and most transparent institutions in the world.

11. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

A recent survey by the London Business School and Harvard Business School concludes that management is considered the most trustworthy in Denmark, Finland and Singapore. All major companies in Denmark have a CSR strategy. The Danish Business Authority publishes action plans to advance CSR in Denmark and recommends following the principles of the UN Global Compact, UN PRI and OECD guidelines for multinational enterprises. The most recent plan is from 2012 and covers the 2012 – 2015 period, focusing on business-driven CSR and contains 42 initiatives.

12. Political Violence

Denmark is a politically stable country. Incidents involving politically-motivated damage to projects or installations are very rare in Denmark.

13. Corruption

According to the 2013 Corruption Perceptions Index by Transparency International, Denmark is the least corrupt country in the world, along with New Zealand. Transparency International has local representation in Denmark.

Corruption is covered under the Danish Penal Code, and the Ministry of Justice is responsible for combating corruption. Penalties for violations range from fines to imprisonment of up to four years for a private individual's involvement and up to six years for a public employee's involvement. Since 1998, Danish businesses cannot claim a tax deduction for the cost of bribes paid to officials abroad. Denmark is a signatory of the OECD Convention on Combating Bribery and the UN Anticorruption Convention, and a participating member of the OECD Working Group on Bribery. In the Working Group's Phase 3 report on Denmark, they urged Denmark to be more proactive in its investigations.

14. Bilateral Investment Agreements

Denmark has concluded investment protection agreements with the following 45 countries (and Hong Kong): Algeria, Albania, Argentina, Belarus, Bolivia, Bulgaria, Czech Republic,

Chile, China, Croatia, Egypt, Ethiopia, Estonia, Ghana, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Kuwait, Latvia, Lithuania, Malaysia, Mexico, Mongolia, Mozambique, Nicaragua, North Korea, Pakistan, Peru, the Philippines, Poland, Romania, Russia, Slovakia, Slovenia, South Korea, Sri Lanka, South Africa, Tanzania, Tunisia, Turkey, Uganda, Ukraine, Venezuela, Vietnam, and Zimbabwe. Further, Denmark has signed investment protection agreements with Bangladesh, Bosnia Herzegovina, Brazil, Cuba, Laos, Montenegro, Morocco and Serbia, but these agreements await ratification. There has been no change to the status of the investment protection agreements since the enactment of the European Union's Lisbon Treaty.

The U.S.-Danish Bilateral Convention for the Avoidance of Double Taxation and the Prevention of Fiscal Evasion with Respect to Taxes on Income was concluded in 1999, and came into force on March 31, 2000. In May 2006, a protocol was signed to amend the existing tax treaty between Denmark and the United States. The most important aspect of the protocol relates to the elimination of withholding tax on cross-border dividend payments. On November 19, 2012, the governments of the United States of America and Kingdom of Denmark signed an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) to implement the Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act (FATCA).

15. OPIC and Other Investment Insurance Programs

OPIC programs are not applicable to U.S. investments in Denmark, but may be used by at least 95%-U.S.-owned subsidiaries in Denmark to support their investments in qualifying countries.

Denmark is a member of the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (MIGA).

16. Labor

The Danish labor force is generally stable, well-educated and efficient. Language skills are good, and English is considered a natural second language among a very high proportion of Danes. Furthermore, the Danish labor market is flexible. Danish rules on the hiring and firing of employees are not burdensome, which enables employers to adjust the workforce quickly to changing market conditions. The World Economic Forum Global Competitiveness Report 2013 – 2014 finds that Denmark continues to distinguish itself as having one of the most efficient labor markets in the world (ranked thirteen out of 148), with less redundancy costs and more flexibility in hiring and firing than in other Nordic or in most European countries more generally. The report ranks Denmark first with respect to redundancy costs and third with respect to cooperation in labor-employer relations.

The Danish labor force amounted to approximately 2.624 million persons in 2013. Denmark's OECD-harmonized unemployment rate was 7.0% in February 2014, which was relatively low compared to the EU (10.6%) and OECD (7.6%) averages. Unemployment is expected to decrease slightly in the coming years as the economy improves and structural reforms take effect.

The public sector in Denmark is large and accounts for approximately 28% of the employment at full-time equivalence. The labor force participation rate for women is among the highest in the world. In 2013, 72.5% of working-age women participated in the labor force

and the employment rate was 69.0%. The male labor force participation rate and employment rate were 76.4% and 72.5% respectively.

The Danish labor force is highly organized, with approximately 75% belonging to a union. Labor disputes and strikes occur only sporadically. As a general rule, labor/management relations are excellent, based on dialogue and consensus rather than confrontation. Working conditions are laid down in a rather complex system of legislation and organizational agreements. Most aspects of wage and working conditions are determined through collective bargaining rather than legislation.

The contractual workweek for most wage earners is 37 1/2 hours. By law, employees are entitled to five weeks of paid annual leave. However, the majority of the labor force has the right to six weeks of paid annual leave through labor market agreements.

Denmark has well-functioning unemployment insurance and sick-pay schemes that are not financed by employers. Maternity leave in Denmark is 52 weeks to be divided between the parents as they see fit, though 18 weeks are earmarked for the mother and 2 weeks for the father. Employers are obliged to pay salary for at least 14 weeks, while the government supports the remainder of the leave.

Danish wages are high by international standards and have contributed to the use of capital-intensive technologies. The average wage level is seen as detrimental to Danish competitiveness. However, employer contributions to social security (including health care) are very low. As a result, total employee costs for employers are lower in Denmark than in many other industrialized countries. Real wages declined in 2010 - 2012 due to the economic crisis and restraint shown by the unions on wage increase demands. Real wages increased by 0.9% in 2013. Nominal wages are forecast to increase by 1.5% - 2.0% in the coming years which will allow for small real wage increases with a continued subdued inflation.

In general, work permits are not difficult to obtain for foreign managerial staff. However, permits for non-managerial workers from countries outside the EU (citizens of EU countries do not require work permits) and the Nordic countries are granted only if substantial professional or labor-related conditions warrant it.

Special rules, detailed in the so-called Positive List Scheme, apply to certain professional fields experiencing a shortage of qualified manpower. The list is updated twice annually. Foreigners who have been hired in the designated fields will be immediately eligible for residence and work permits. In 2013, professions covered by the Positive List Scheme include engineers, scientists, doctors, nurses, IT specialists, marine biologists, lawyers, accountants and a wide range of other Master's or Bachelor's degree positions. The Pay Limit Scheme extends to positions with an annual pay of no less than DKK 375,000 (approximately USD 64,700) annually, regardless of the field or specific nature of the job. Persons who have been offered a highly paid job have particularly easy access to the Danish labor market through the Pay Limit Scheme.

Denmark also introduced a Green Card scheme to issue three-year residence permits to foreign nationals, allowing them to seek employment in Denmark. The residence permit can be extended for one year without special authorization, followed by a four-year extension if authorized. Permits are issued based on an individual evaluation using a point system.

However, a residence permit issued under the Green Card scheme is **not** a work permit. If offered a job, the applicant must apply for a work permit. A work permit is only granted for research and specialist positions, as well as positions covered by the above-mentioned Positive List scheme.

Generally, personal income tax rates in Denmark are among the highest in the world. However, foreign key employees and researchers may choose to be subject to a favorable 26% gross tax rate in the first five years of working in Denmark. Some conditions must be fulfilled in order for key employees to be eligible for the 26% tax scheme: for example, in 2012 wages had to total at least DKK 69,300 (approx. USD 12,000) per month before the deduction of labor market contributions and after Danish labor market supplementary pension contributions. There are also limits based on an individual's previous work history in Danish labor market. Compared with the general Danish progressive income tax system, this is an attractive incentive. Further information can be obtained from the Danish embassies or from the Danish Immigration Service (www.nyidanmark.dk).

The Danish government has announced plans to reform the current system, to be negotiated in 2014, which will increase the number of people who would be eligible for work under the Positive List and Pay Limit schemes, along with other initiatives to increase attraction and retention of high-skilled foreign labor.

Denmark adheres to the ILO conventions protecting worker rights.

17. Foreign Trade Zones/Free Ports

The only free port in Denmark is the Copenhagen Free Port operated by the Port of Copenhagen. The Port of Copenhagen and the Port of Malmo (Sweden) merged their commercial operations in 2001, including the free port activities, in a joint company named CMP. CMP is one of the largest port and terminal operators in the Nordic Region and one of the largest Northern European cruise-ship ports; it occupies a key position in the Baltic Sea Region for the distribution of cars and transit of oil. The facilities in the free port are mostly used for tax-free warehousing of goods imported, for exports, and for in-transit trade. Tax and duties are not payable until cargo leaves the Free Port. Also, the processing of cargo and the preparation and finishing of imported automobiles for sale can freely be set up in the Free Port. Manufacturing operations can be established with the permission of the customs authorities, which is granted if special reasons exist for having the facility in the Free Port area. The Copenhagen Free Port welcomes foreign companies establishing warehouse and storage facilities.

Other Areas of the Kingdom of Denmark, Greenland, Self-Rule Status

Greenland's status within the Kingdom of Denmark is outlined in the Self Rule Act (SRA) of 2009, which details the Greenlandic government's right to acquire a number of new responsibilities including the administration of justice, business and labor, aviation, immigration and border control, as well as financial regulation and supervision. It has already acquired control over taxation, fisheries, internal labor negotiations, natural resources, and oversight of offshore labor, environment, and safety regulations. Denmark continues to have control over the Realm's foreign affairs, security, and defense policy, in consultation with Greenland and the Faroe Islands. Denmark also retains authority over border control issues,

including immigration into Greenland. Greenland is not a part of the EU or Schengen Area; special rules apply for foreigners coming from a Schengen country.

Greenland Economic Outlook

Greenland escaped the worst effects of the financial crisis, experiencing GDP growth of 7.5% from 2008 to 2011. Greenland's highly specialized economy - over 90% of exports is fisheries - combined with increasing world market prices for shrimp, increased natural resource exploitation, and large construction activity in the capital of Nuuk and the larger cities has seen the Greenlandic economy grow when Denmark and most of Europe faltered. Exploration for hydrocarbons off the west coast of Greenland, worth approximately DKK 5 billion (USD 890 million) in 2010 – 2011, contributed significantly to growth. Offshore hydrocarbon exploration has since declined and no exploration was conducted in 2012 and 2013; the situation appears unchanged for 2014. There is significant interest in offshore hydrocarbon exploration in Greenland but it is uncertain to what extent and when such exploration will commence.

Shrimp quotas were reduced in 2012 and 2013 from 120,000 tons to 90,000 tons; the impact was partly offset by higher world market prices. The years 2012 and 2013 also saw a reduction in planned construction expenditures, with planned public construction shrinking from DKK 1.1 billion (USD 196 million) in 2011 to DKK 0.8 billion (USD 143 million) in 2013. Exploration and extraction of minerals may in the future be a fruitful avenue for increased revenues. UK-based London Mining was granted an extraction license for its Isua iron ore project in October 2013. With construction costs estimated at USD 2.6 billion, Isua is set to be the first large scale project in Greenland. The expected state revenues - approximately USD 350 million annually, according to London Mining – will significantly contribute to the Greenlandic economy when the project gets underway. Mining projects proposed at the Kvanefjeld and Kringlerne sites could also provide significant input to the economy.

The Greenland Economic Council's September 2013 report estimates that GDP contracted by -3.1% in 2012, -1.4% in 2013 and will stagnate with zero growth in 2014. Greenland exported approximately USD 476 million in 2012, a 22% increase from USD 390 million in 2010. 90% of Greenlandic exports were fish products, with the remainder being metals and machinery. Exports went primarily to Denmark (91%), followed by Portugal (3%), and Switzerland (2.6 %). Imports came from Denmark (59%), Sweden (21%), and Norway (3.7%), among others. Imports from the United States represented 1.3% of total imports. Foreign Direct Investment into Greenland from Denmark totaled DKK 1.2 billion (USD 214 million) in 2011. Due to its vast expanse, Greenland's physical and telecommunications infrastructure is less interconnected and developed than in other parts of the Kingdom of Denmark. The labor force was comprised of 36,432 people in 2012. The Greenlandic government is active in trying to attract investments to Greenland in order to diversify the economy and integrate the Greenlandic economy into the world economy as part of a path toward economic independence from Denmark.

Establishing a Company in Greenland

An established company doing or planning to do business in Greenland must attain a GER (Greenland's Company Register) registration number. This includes subsidiaries. The registration number can be acquired from the Greenlandic Tax Authorities.

A foreign company can establish a commercial activity in Greenland in one of the following ways: through a subsidiary, a registered affiliate, a representative office or a taxable entity. A subsidiary is only liable for its own assets. The capital requirement for establishing a corporation (A/S) is DKK 500,000 (approx. USD 89,000) and for establishing a private limited liability company (ApS) DKK 125,000 (approx. USD 22,300). At least one of the founders of an A/S must be a resident of Greenland. The Danish Ministry for Business and Growth can, however, grant exemptions to this requirement.

A registered affiliate does not have capital requirements, but only a company with a legally registered office in the EU, USA, Canada or the Nordic countries can open an affiliate. It is legally not treated as an independent company, but rather as an extension of the main company. This means that the head office is liable for all the affiliate's assets.

A representative office is not regulated or defined; however, a representative office cannot sign any contracts or deliver services. It is meant to be a marketing office, or an office to establish contacts and enter the market.

An exploration license is viewed as a taxable entity. There is more lenient regulation in the extraction industry regarding company composition: if a foreign company is granted an exploration license, it is not required to register as an affiliate, but the license is taxable, and therefore the firm must submit tax information like a regular company. However, a loss can be carried forward and written off against future profits. A GER registration is required.

A foreign company can do business in Greenland in a consecutive or non-consecutive 90 day period over 12 months without being required to register as a business.

Greenland Tax

Greenland has double taxation treaties with the following countries: Denmark, Faroe Islands, Iceland, and Norway.

The corporate income tax rate is 30 percent. An additional surcharge of six percent of the tax payable is charged, bringing the total corporate tax rate to 31.8 percent. Taxation of royalty payments is 30 percent. Greenland has no value added tax (VAT) system, sales tax or similar. There are, however, some payable duties such as tax for cruise liners, ports duties, etc. There are four types of depreciations in the Greenlandic tax law. Buildings can be depreciated five percent annually. Ships, planes, and hydrocarbon prospecting can be depreciated 10 percent annually. Mineral licenses can be depreciated 25 percent annually, and operating equipment can be depreciated 30 percent at a declining balance. Assets with a cost of less than DKK 100,000 (USD 17,825) may be depreciated in the year of acquisition.

The taxation of mineral resources in Greenland is governed by the Mineral Resources Act. Companies which are operating under the Act can apply for an exemption of the surcharge, thereby lowering the tax rate to 30 percent.

Greenland Labor

The Greenlandic labor force was 36,432 persons in 2012. Based on the Greenland Statistic figures for 2013, unemployment was projected to be about 9.4 % -- higher than the OECD average of 8.0%, and an increase from 7.7% in 2010. Based on 2012 figures, 34.7% of the Greenlandic population has an education beyond primary school, and 42.8 % of those have a vocational education, with nurses and teachers making up the two largest groups at 12.1 % of the educated population.

In December 2012, Greenland passed legislation known as the "Large Scale Act" which provides for companies to use foreign labor during the construction phase of development when project costs exceed USD 870 million and workforce requirements exceed the local labor supply. The Act is intended for potential mining or infrastructure projects in Greenland. The Act allows workers from outside Greenland to operate under a foreign labor accord, but these agreements cannot violate Greenland's laws or Denmark's international obligations.

The Act lays out the framework for politically-negotiated Impact Benefit Agreements (IBA) for the Government of Greenland and the company to agree upon the exact conditions of employment for foreign labor. The scale of Greenlandic labor utilized will be negotiated for each project and will vary depending on local capacity and the negotiated agreement for each project.

Foreign workers will enjoy the same legal protections as Greenlandic workers, in theory, including the same USD 13.85 per hour minimum wage and retention of the right to strike, but employers may deduct up to USD 180 from their pay each week to cover the cost of company-provided lodging, food, and clothing.

Investment in Natural Resources

There are estimated to be significant mineral deposits in Greenland, including the rare earth elements zinc, lead, molybdenum, uranium, gold, platinum, ruby and pink sapphires, and other critical minerals. Greenland is also expected to harbor large quantities of iron ore and copper, although there has been limited exploration of copper deposits to date. Conditions are harsh in Greenland; however, satellite images taken over the past several decades record a continuing significant disappearance of ice from Greenland. If the trend continues, mining industry experts anticipate the retreating ice will make the island's rich stores of raw materials more accessible. The policy framework is also relatively attractive for most mining activities (with the exception of mining radioactive minerals). In October 2013, the Greenlandic Parliament abolished the country's 25-year "zero-tolerance policy towards uranium and other radioactive minerals," lifting the ban on mining where uranium is present. This decision will facilitate the exploitation of rare earth mineral deposits, which are often found co-mingled with radioactive minerals in Greenland.

With the 2009 SRA, Greenland gained the rights to its mineral, oil, and natural gas resources, and acquired the regulatory authority over these on January 1, 2010. The SRA also created a revenue mechanism: if exploitation of Greenland's natural resources becomes commercially viable, Greenland will keep the first DKK 75 million (USD 13.4 million) in annual revenues derived from these resources, with further revenues split equally between the Danish and Greenlandic Governments. Denmark's share will be transferred by deducting the equivalent amount from the annual block grant to Greenland (USD 660 million in 2013); once the value of the block grant has been reached, any additional revenue will be subject to negotiations

between the Danish and Greenlandic governments. The Greenlandic Government welcomes this eventuality, but remains cognizant of the potential impact an influx of wealth from these activities could have on Greenlandic society.

Greenland is endowed with several REE deposits (at least two world-class). All the well-known deposits are licensed by the Bureau of Minerals and Petroleum and some have reached advanced stages of exploration. Greenland, in the 2013 mining survey from the Canadian Fraser Institute, ranks 14th out of 96 mining jurisdictions surveyed - in terms of policy attractiveness. Greenland was granted the award for "best country to do mining in 2013-2014," along with Mongolia, Azerbaijan, and Australia, at the Mines & Money conference in December 2013.

Greenland General Business Information

OPIC programs are not applicable to U.S. investments in Greenland.

Information about the Greenlandic Government can be found at: <http://naalakkersuisut.gl/en>. Information from the Greenlandic Government on natural resource exploration and extraction can be found at: <http://www.govmin.gl/>

Statistics Greenland can be found at: <http://www.stat.gl/default.asp?lang=en>

By law, private property can only be expropriated for public purposes in areas where the Greenlandic Self-government has the competencies, in a non-discriminatory manner, with reasonable compensation. There have been no recent expropriations of significance in Greenland and there is no reason to expect significant expropriations in the near future.

There have been no major disputes over foreign investment in Greenland in recent years. While it is common that disputes are settled in Greenlandic courts, the Danish Supreme Court remains the highest appeals court for disputes in Greenland. If the dispute is very specialized and within the purview of the Danish Administration of Justice Act, the parties involved can choose the Danish Maritime and Commercial Court as a court of first instance.

While the democratic institutions and the legal framework in general are strong, there have been some concerns about legislation being passed through parliament without significant hearing processes and public input.

The Faroe Islands

During the last two centuries, the Faroese economy has revolved around fishery and related industries, and fisheries remains the key sector, accounting for about 95% of exports. The Faroe Islands are a small open economy which, combined with the reliance on fisheries, makes it highly vulnerable to changes in the international markets. The Faroe Islands have full powers to set tax rates and fees, and to set levels of spending on the services they provides.

Nominal GDP increased by 1.9% in 2012 after growing 2.4% the year before. In both years growth was approximately equal to the inflation rate, leaving real growth at zero. The Faroes were less impacted by the economic crisis in 2008/09 than the rest of Europe, in part due to increasing world prices for fish. Unemployment has fallen in recent years, but without a

corresponding increase in employment. This reflects a net emigration, and that an increasing number of Faroese are working abroad. The unemployment rate was 4.3% by mid-2013, down from 8% in 2011.

The outlook for the Faroese economy is highly dependent on the development in the amount and price of seafood catches, which can both be highly volatile over time. The Faroe Islands have in recent years engaged in disputes with the EU over fishing quotas. The disagreements escalated in September 2012, when the EU adopted measures which allowed it to impose sanctions on the Faroe Islands. In March 2013, the Faroe Islands unilaterally increased their quota for herring and mackerel. EU member states responded in July by voting in favor of sanctions against the Faroe Islands. Sanctions on herring and mackerel were imposed in August 2013. The sanctions prohibit Faroese exports of herring and mackerel to and via EU countries, which together accounted for approximately 12% of Faroese exports of goods in 2012. It is unclear to what extent the imposed sanctions will impact the Faroese economy and recent talks have indicated a settlement may be coming soon. Faroese fish companies have diverted affected exports to non-EU countries, and could increase catches as they are no longer bound by the quota system.

In September 2013, the credit agency Moody's ranked the Faroe Islands Aa3, high quality and very low credit risk. The government has historically implemented substantial cuts in spending when required (e.g. during the crisis of the 1990s). However, the Faroe Islands have opted for more debt, rather than implementing spending cuts, since the global economic crisis in 2008/09.

The Faroe Islands retain control over most internal affairs including the conservation and management of living marine resources within the 200 nautical mile fisheries zone, natural resources, financial regulation and supervision, and transport. Denmark continues to exercise control of foreign affairs, security, and defense in consultation with the Faroese Government.

The labor force comprises about 28,000 people. In many areas, the Faroese labor market model resembles that of the other Nordic countries with high standards of living, well-established welfare schemes and independent labor unions. A majority of the Faroese population is bilingual or multilingual, with Danish and English being widely spoken. The Islands boast a well-developed physical and telecommunications infrastructure and have well-established political, legal, and social structures. The standard of living for the population (about 48,000) is high, but slightly lower than that of other Nordic countries. The Faroe Islands opened their own exchange in 2000 for securities; active trading of shares followed in 2005. The exchange is collaboration with the VMF Icelandic exchange on the Nasdaq OMX Nordic Exchange Iceland.

The Islands exported approximately DKK 6.074 billion (USD 1.082 billion) worth of goods in 2013, 95.1% of which were fish products, with the remainder being vessels and aircraft. In recent years, the construction, transportation, banking, and other financial services sectors have grown, and offshore oil and gas exploration is developing, though commercially viable finds have not been made. The majority of exports went to the UK (11.5%), followed by Nigeria (8.7%), Russia (8.4%), the Netherlands (7.3%), France (7.2%), Denmark (7.2%), Germany (7.0%) and the U.S. (6.7%). More than 85% of imports came from Europe, 0.85% originated in the United States. Imports consist of items for household consumption (19.5%) e.g. food,

tobacco and beverages (8.9%); also fuels (20%); input to industry (20.9%) and vessels and aircrafts (13.2%).

Foreign Direct Investment into the Faroe Islands totaled DKK 1.6 billion (USD 285 million) in 2012, about half of which was from Denmark. The Faroese government has indicated interest in further attracting foreign investment. "Invest in the Faroes" is the unit in the Faroese government promoting Faroese trade. The website is www.invest.fo/.

18. Foreign Direct Investment and Foreign Portfolio Investment Statistics

The total stock of FDI inbound to Denmark in 2012 corresponded to 43.2% of GDP (current prices, exclusive of pass-through investments). Danish outbound direct investment corresponded to 71.4% of GDP in 2012. The largest foreign investor in Denmark in 2012 is Sweden, followed by the Netherlands, Luxembourg, the U.S, the United Kingdom, and Norway. U.S. investment accounted for 7.1% of the total 2012 FDI stock in Denmark

Major U.S. direct investment in Denmark is in telecommunications, energy utility, information technology, biotechnology, oil exploration, financial services and facility services. During recent years, several U.S.-based private equity funds have invested in Danish firms such as DONG, ISS, the Legoland Parks, and TDC. Over 400 U.S. companies have subsidiaries in Denmark, of which several are regional headquarters.

The main destinations for Danish FDI are Sweden (18%), the United States (10%), United Kingdom (11%), Germany (8%) and Norway (6%). EU countries held 59% of the stock in 2012.

The following are tables for foreign direct investment at current prices. Pass-through investments are not included since they have no or very little real-economic significance for the pass-through country. The source of data is the Danish Central Bank, www.nationalbanken.dk, based on average exchange rates of DKK 5.626 = 1 USD in 2010, DKK 5.362 = 1 USD in 2011, DKK 5.797 = 1 USD in 2012 and DKK 5.616 = 1 USD in 2013.

Foreign Direct Investment in Denmark

Table 1: Danish FDI Inbound, STOCK

	2010	2011	2012
Total DKK, billions	750.7	771.5	788.2
Total USD, billions	133.4	143.9	136.0
% of GDP	43%	43%	43%

Table 2: Danish FDI Inbound, Stock, % of Total, Origin

	2010	2011	2012
USA	7%	7%	7%
EU	73%	70%	71%

Sweden	27%	24%	23%
Luxembourg	9%	11%	9%
Netherlands	12%	11%	13%
United Kingdom	8%	7%	7%
Germany	8%	7%	7%
Norway	6%	7%	7%

Table 3: Danish FDI Inbound, Stock, % of Total, Sector

	2010	2011	2012
Agriculture, Fisheries, Raw Materials	3%	3%	5%
Manufacturing	20%	21%	21%
Energy and Water Supply, and Construction	2%	2%	2%
Trade & Transport, etc.	16%	15%	16%
Information & Communication	9%	9%	7%
Financial Intermediation	40%	40%	39%
Business Service, Insurance	6%	6%	7%
Real Estate Commercial and Non-Commercial	3%	3%	3%

Table 4: Danish FDI Outbound, Stock

	2010	2011	2012
Total DKK, billions	1145	1221.5	1304.3
Total USD, billions	203.5	227.8	225.0
% of GDP	65%	68%	71%

Table 5: Danish FDI Outbound, Stock, % of Total, Destination

	2010	2011	2012
USA	10%	10%	10%
EU	58%	58%	59%
Sweden	21%	19%	18%
Luxembourg	1%	1%	1%
Netherlands	4%	5%	8%
United Kingdom	8%	9%	11%

Germany	7%	9%	8%
Norway	6%	5%	6%

Table 6: Danish FDI Outbound, Stock, % of Total, Sector

	2010	2011	2012
Agriculture, Fisheries, Raw Materials	5%	6%	7%
Manufacturing	32%	31%	30%
Energy and Water Supply, and Construction	4%	4%	5%
Trade & Transport, etc.	16%	16%	17%
Information & Communication	2%	2%	2%
Financial Intermediation	30%	29%	29%
Business Service, Insurance	8%	8%	7%
Real Estate Commercial and Non-Commercial	2%	2%	2%

Table 7: Major FDI in Denmark by U.S. companies

Goldman Sachs	Energy Utility
Bain Capital	Financial Services
Advent International	Financial Services
Microsoft	IT
IBM	IT
HP/Compaq	IT
Intel	IT
Computer Sciences Corp., USA	IT
ADC Telecommunications Inc.	IT
Motorola	Telecom
Texaco	Energy
Amerada Hess	Hydrocarbon exploration
Ashland	Road Construction
Masco	Furniture and Sanitary Fittings
York Holding Corp.	Refrigerating Equipment
Tenneco Inc.	Automotive
3M	Tapes, Health Care and Pharmaceuticals
Pfizer	Pharmaceuticals

Merck, Sharp & Dohme	Pharmaceuticals
Eli Lilly	Pharmaceuticals
Sauer Inc.	Fluid Power
CP Kelco	Hydrocolloids
Doane Pet Care Co.	Pet Food
GE Capital	Financial Services
Biogen IDEC	Biotechnology

Among the largest U.S. corporate takeovers in Denmark are:

- DuPont's acquisition of the Danish enzyme and ingredient producer Danisco in May 2011. DuPont's final bid for DKK 700 per share (approximately DKK 37.9 billion (USD 6.5 billion) total) gave DuPont complete control of the company.
- Microsoft's acquisition of the Danish software company Navision in 2002 (USD 1.2 billion) and IBM's acquisition of Maersk Data in 2004 (estimated USD 400 million).
- Ameritech purchase of 34% of the Danish telecommunication provider incumbent Tele Danmark in 1997 for DKK 21 billion (USD 3.2 billion, 1997 exchange rate). Ameritech was later acquired by SBC Communications that gained an additional 8% of Tele Danmark stock and sold off its position in 2004 for DKK 14 billion (USD 2.3 billion, 2004 exchange rate).
- The national payment system, Nets, was sold to a consortium consisting of Advent International Corp., Bain Capital LLC, and Danish pension fund ATP in March 2014 for DKK 17 billion (USD 3.1 billion).
- In February 2014, the U.S. investment bank Goldman Sachs, in a joint venture together with two Danish pension funds, invested DKK 11 billion in the Danish state energy company DONG. Goldman Sachs invested DKK 8 billion, and thereby owns 19% of the company.
- In May 2007, the Greenland Home Rule Government and Alcoa signed a memorandum of understanding to study the feasibility of the construction of an aluminum smelter and associated hydropower generation and transmission facilities in Greenland. It is estimated that upon completion, the Alcoa investment would be worth approximately USD 2.5 billion, the largest ever greenfield U.S. direct investment in the Kingdom of Denmark. U.S. companies ExxonMobil and Chevron own approximately 48% of a partnership that, in October 2007, was awarded licenses for the exploration and exploitation of hydrocarbons off the western coast of Greenland. In 2010, Greenland announced the award of exploration licenses for seven blocks in Baffin Bay off Greenland's west coast. ConocoPhillips was among the seven awardees. The list of companies with licenses for oil/gas exploration in Greenland also includes: Exxon, Chevron, Husky and EnCana among others.

Other FDIs in Denmark mostly come from Denmark's neighboring countries or other nearby countries, including Sweden, Iceland, Norway, Finland, Germany, and the United Kingdom. Most of those nations' major companies, and numerous smaller ones, have a presence in Denmark, either as regional headquarters, sales/marketing offices, or in production. Some foreign companies with large investments in Denmark are Statoil (Norway); L.M. Ericsson

(Sweden); Nordea (Sweden); Vattenfall (Sweden), APV (United Kingdom); Bayer (Germany), and Q8 Oil (Kuwait).

Several Danish companies have a presence in the U.S., both to get closer to the market and to gain access to American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds, some of which have been allotted to, among others, Vestas Wind Systems and Novozymes.

Section 5 - Government

Chiefs of State and Cabinet Members:

For the current list of Chief of State and Cabinet Members, please access the following - [Central Intelligence Agency online directory of Chiefs of State and Cabinet Members of Foreign Governments](#)

Legal system:

civil law; judicial review of legislative acts

International organization participation:

ADB (nonregional member), AfDB (nonregional member), Arctic Council, Australia Group, BIS, CBSS, CD, CE, CERN, EAPC, EBRD, EIB, EITI (implementing country), ESA, EU, FAO, FATF, G-9, IADB, IAEA, IBRD, ICAO, ICC (national committees), ICRM, IDA, IEA, IFAD, IFC, IFRCs, IGAD (partners), IHO, ILO, IMF, IMO, IMSO, Interpol, IOC, IOM, IPU, ISO, ITSO, ITU, ITUC (NGOs), MIGA, NATO, NC, NEA, NIB, NSG, OAS (observer), OECD, OPCW, OSCE, Paris Club, PCA, Schengen Convention, UN, UNCTAD, UNESCO, UNHCR, UNIDO, UNMIL, UNMISS, UNRWA, UNTSO, UPU, WCO, WHO, WIPO, WMO, WTO, ZC

Section 6 - Tax

Exchange control

There are no exchange controls in Denmark on inward or outward investment.

Treaty and non-treaty withholding tax rates

Denmark has signed **121 agreements (69 DTC and 52 TIEA agreements)** providing for the exchange of information.

Jurisdiction	Type of EOI Arrangement	Date Signed	Date entered into Force	Meets standard	Contains paras 4 and 5	
Andorra	TIEA	24 Feb 2010	13 Feb 2011	Yes	Yes	
Anguilla	TIEA	2 Sep 2009	11 Mar 2011	Yes	Yes	
Antigua and Barbuda	TIEA	2 Sep 2009	23 Feb 2011	Yes	Yes	
Argentina	DTC	12 Dec 1995	3 Sep 1997	Yes	No	
Armenia	DTC	21 Oct 1986	28 Sep 1987	Unreviewed	No	
Aruba	TIEA	10 Sep 2009	1 Jun 2011	Yes	Yes	
Australia	DTC	1 Apr 1981	27 Oct 1981	Yes	No	
Austria	DTC	25 May 2007	28 Mar 2008	Yes	Yes	
Azerbaijan	TIEA	25 Jan 1988	1 Oct 2004	Unreviewed	No	
Bahamas, The	TIEA	10 Mar 2010	9 Sep 2010	Yes	Yes	
Bahrain	TIEA	14 Oct 2011	5 Sep 2012	Yes	Yes	
Bangladesh	DTC	16 Jul 1996	18 Dec 1996	Unreviewed	No	
Barbados	TIEA	3 Nov 2011	14 Jun 2012	Yes	Yes	
Belarus	DTC	21 Oct 1986	28 Sep 1987	Unreviewed	No	
Belgium	DTC	16 Oct 1969	31 Dec 1970	Yes	Yes	
Belize	TIEA	15 Sep 2010	9 Mar 2011	Yes	Yes	
Bermuda	TIEA	16 Apr 2009	25 Dec 2009	Yes	Yes	
Botswana	TIEA	20 Feb 2013	not yet in force	Unreviewed	Yes	
Brazil	DTC	27 Aug 1974	5 Dec 1974	Yes	No	
Brunei Darussalam	TIEA	27 Jun 2012	15 Mar 2013	Unreviewed	Yes	
Bulgaria	DTC	2 Dec 1988	27 Mar 1989	Unreviewed	No	
Canada	DTC	17 Sep 1997	2 Mar 1998	Yes	No	
Cayman Islands	TIEA	1 Apr 2009	6 Feb 2010	Yes	Yes	
Chile	DTC	20 Sep 2002	21 Dec 2004	Yes	No	
China	DTC	16 Jun 2012	28 Dec 2012	Yes	Yes	
Chinese Taipei	DTC	30 Aug 2005	23 Dec 2005	Unreviewed	No	
Cook Islands	TIEA	16 Dec 2009	5 Oct 2011	Yes	Yes	
Costa Rica	TIEA	29 Jun 2011	not yet in force	Yes	Yes	
Croatia	DTC	14 Sep 2007	22 Feb 2009	Unreviewed	No	
Curaçao	TIEA	10 Sep 2009	1 Jun 2011	Yes	Yes	
Cyprus	DTC	11 Oct 2010	18 May 2011	Yes	Yes	

Jurisdiction	Type of EOI Arrangement	Date Signed	Date entered into Force	Meets standard	Contains paras 4 and 5	
Czech Republic	DTC	25 Aug 2011	17 Dec 2012	Yes	Yes	
Dominica	TIEA	19 May 2010	1 Feb 2012	No	Yes	
Egypt	DTC	9 Feb 1989	12 Apr 1990	Unreviewed	No	
Estonia	DTC	4 May 1993	30 Dec 1993	Yes	No	
Faroe Islands	TIEA	7 Dec 1989	9 May 1991	Unreviewed	No	
Finland	TIEA	7 Dec 1989	8 May 1991	Yes	No	
Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	DTC	20 Mar 2000	14 Dec 2000	Yes	No	
France	EEC directive	30 Mar 2011	1 Jan 1978	Yes	No	
Georgia	DTC	10 Oct 2007	1 Jan 2009	Unreviewed	Yes	
Germany	DTC	22 Nov 1995	25 Dec 1996	Yes	No	
Gibraltar	TIEA	2 Sep 2009	13 Feb 2010	Yes	Yes	
Greece	DTC	18 May 1989	18 Jan 1992	Yes	No	
Greenland	TIEA	7 Dec 1989	9 May 1991	Unreviewed	No	
Grenada	TIEA	19 May 2010	14 Feb 2012	Yes	Yes	
Guatemala	TIEA	15 May 2012	not yet in force	No	Yes	
Guernsey	TIEA	28 Oct 2008	6 Jun 2009	Yes	Yes	
Hungary	DTC	27 Apr 2011	15 Jul 2012	Yes	Yes	
Iceland	TIEA	7 Dec 1989	9 May 1991	Yes	No	
India	DTC	8 Mar 1989	13 Jun 1989	Yes	No	
Indonesia	DTC	28 Dec 1985	29 Apr 1986	Yes	No	
Ireland	DTC	26 Mar 1993	8 Oct 1993	Yes	No	
Isle of Man	TIEA	30 Oct 2007	26 Sep 2008	Yes	Yes	
Israel	DTC	9 Sep 2009	29 Dec 2011	Yes	Yes	
Italy	DTC	5 May 1999	27 Jan 2003	Yes	No	
Jamaica	DTC	16 Aug 1990	10 Oct 1991	Yes	No	
Jamaica	TIEA	4 Dec 2012	not yet in force	Yes	Yes	
Japan	DTC	3 Feb 1968	26 Jul 1968	Yes	No	
Jersey	TIEA	28 Oct 2008	6 Jun 2009	Yes	Yes	
Kenya	DTC	13 Dec 1972	15 Mar 1973	Yes	No	
Korea, Republic of	DTC	11 Nov 2007	8 Jan 1979	Yes	No	
Kuwait	DTC	22 Jun 2010	not yet in force	Unreviewed	No	
Kyrgyzstan	DTC	21 Oct 1986	28 Sep 1987	Unreviewed	No	
Latvia	DTC	10 Dec 1993	27 Dec 1993	Unreviewed	No	
Liberia	TIEA	10 Nov 2010	18 May 2012	Yes	Yes	
Liechtenstein	TIEA	17 Dec 2010	7 Apr 2012	Yes	Yes	
Lithuania	DTC	13 Oct 1993	30 Dec 1993	Yes	No	
Luxembourg	DTC	17 Nov 1980	22 Mar 1982	Yes	Yes	
Macao, China	TIEA	29 Apr 2011	15 Oct 2011	Yes	Yes	
Malaysia	DTC	4 Dec 1970	4 Jun 1970	No	No	
Malta	DTC	13 Jul 1998	30 Dec 1998	Yes	No	
Marshall Islands	TIEA	28 Sep 2010	3 Dec 2011	Yes	Yes	
Mauritius	TIEA	1 Dec 2011	1 Jun 2012	Yes	Yes	
Mexico	DTC	11 Jun 1997	22 Dec 1997	Yes	No	

Jurisdiction	Type of EOI Arrangement	Date Signed	Date entered into Force	Meets standard	Contains paras 4 and 5	
Monaco	TIEA	23 Jun 2010	2 Oct 2010	Yes	Yes	
Montenegro	DTC	19 Mar 1981	10 Jan 1982	Unreviewed	No	
Montserrat	TIEA	22 Nov 2010	21 Oct 2011	Yes	Yes	
Morocco	DTC	8 May 1984	25 Dec 1992	Unreviewed	No	
Netherlands	DTC	1 Jul 1996	6 Mar 1998	Yes	No	
New Zealand	DTC	10 Oct 1980	22 Jun 1981	Yes	No	
Niue	TIEA	16 Oct 2013	not yet in force	Unreviewed	Yes	
Norway	TIEA	7 Dec 1989	9 May 1991	Yes	No	
Pakistan	DTC	22 Oct 1987	22 Oct 1987	Unreviewed	No	
Panama	TIEA	16 Nov 2012	not yet in force	Unreviewed	Yes	
Philippines	DTC	30 Jun 1995	24 Dec 1997	Yes	No	
Poland	DTC	6 Dec 2001	1 Jan 2003	Yes	Yes	
Portugal	DTC	14 Dec 2000	24 May 2002	Yes	No	
Qatar	TIEA	6 Sep 2013	not yet in force	Yes	Yes	
Romania	DTC	13 Dec 1976	28 Dec 1977	Unreviewed	No	
Russian Federation	DTC	8 Feb 1996	27 Apr 1997	Yes	No	
Saint Kitts and Nevis	TIEA	2 Sep 2009	23 Feb 2011	Yes	Yes	
Saint Lucia	TIEA	12 Oct 2009	8 Oct 2011	Yes	Yes	
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	TIEA	1 Sep 2009	1 Apr 2011	Yes	Yes	
Samoa	TIEA	16 Dec 2009	22 Mar 2012	Yes	Yes	
San Marino	TIEA	12 Jan 2010	19 May 2010	Yes	Yes	
Serbia	DTC	15 May 2009	18 Dec 2009	Unreviewed	Yes	
Seychelles	TIEA	30 Mar 2011	28 Jul 2012	Yes	Yes	
Singapore	DTC	3 Jul 2000	22 Dec 2000	Yes	Yes	
Sint Maarten	TIEA	10 Sep 2009	1 Jun 2011	Yes	Yes	
Slovakia	DTC	5 May 1982	27 Dec 1982	Yes	No	
Slovenia	DTC	2 May 2001	3 Jun 2002	Yes	No	
South Africa	DTC	21 Jun 1995	3 Dec 1997	Yes	No	
Spain	TIEA	12 Nov 2009	1 Dec 2010	Yes	No	
Sri Lanka	DTC	22 Dec 1981	23 Feb 1983	Unreviewed	No	
Sweden	TIEA	7 Dec 1989	9 May 1991	Yes	No	
Switzerland	DTC	23 Nov 1973	15 Oct 1974	No	Yes	
Tanzania	DTC	6 May 1976	31 Dec 1976	Unreviewed	No	
Thailand	DTC	23 Feb 1998	11 Feb 1999	Unreviewed	No	
Trinidad and Tobago	DTC	20 Jun 1969	17 May 1971	No	No	
Tunisia	DTC	5 Feb 1981	28 May 1981	Unreviewed	No	
Turkey	DTC	30 May 1991	20 Jun 1993	Yes	No	
Turks and Caicos Islands	TIEA	7 Sep 2009	25 Jan 2011	Yes	Yes	
Uganda	DTC	14 Oct 2000	8 May 2001	Unreviewed	No	
Ukraine	DTC	5 Mar 1996	20 Aug 1996	Unreviewed	No	
United Kingdom	DTC	11 Nov 1980	17 Dec 1980	Yes	No	
United States	DTC	19 Aug 1999	29 Jan 2001	Yes	Yes	
Uruguay	TIEA	14 Dec 2011	not yet in force	Yes	Yes	

Jurisdiction	Type of EOI Arrangement	Date Signed	Date entered into Force	Meets standard	Contains paras 4 and 5	
Vanuatu	TIEA	13 Oct 2010	not yet in force	No	Yes	
Venezuela	DTC	3 Dec 1998	21 Jun 2001	Unreviewed	No	
Viet nam	DTC	31 May 1995	24 Apr 1996	Unreviewed	No	
Virgin Islands, British	TIEA	18 May 2009	15 Apr 2010	Yes	Yes	
Zambia	DTC	13 Sep 1973	18 Oct 1974	Unreviewed	No	

Methodology and Sources

Section 1 - General Background Report and Map

(Source: [CIA World Factbook](#))

Section 2 - Anti – Money Laundering / Terrorist Financing

	Lower Risk	Medium Risk	Higher Risk
FATF List of Countries identified with strategic AML deficiencies	Not Listed	AML Deficient but Committed	High Risk
Compliance with FATF 40 + 9 recommendations	>69% Compliant or Fully Compliant	35 – 69% Compliant or Fully Compliant	<35% Compliant or Fully Compliant
US Dept of State Money Laundering assessment (INCSR)	Monitored	Concern	Primary Concern
INCSR - Weakness in Government Legislation	<2	2-4	5-20
US Sec of State supporter of / Safe Haven for International Terrorism	No	Safe Haven for Terrorism	State Supporter of Terrorism
EU White list equivalent jurisdictions	Yes		No
International Sanctions UN Sanctions / US Sanctions / EU Sanctions	None	Arab League / Other	UN , EU or US
Corruption Index (Transparency International) Control of corruption (WGI) Global Advice Network	>69%	35 – 69%	<35%
World government Indicators (Average)	>69%	35 – 69%	<35%
Failed States Index (Average)	>69%	35 – 69%	<35%
Offshore Finance Centre	No		Yes

Section 3 - Economy

General Information on the current economic climate in the country and information on imports, exports, main industries and trading partners.

(Source: [CIA World Factbook](#))

Section 4 - Foreign Investment

Information on the openness of foreign investment into the country and the foreign investment markets.

(Source: [US State Department](#))

Section 5 - Government

Names of Government Ministers and general information on political matters.

(Source: [CIA World Factbook](#) / <https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/world-leaders-1/index.html>)

Section 6 - Tax

Information on Tax Information Exchange Agreements entered into, Double Tax Agreements and Exchange Controls.

(Sources: [OECD Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information for Tax Purposes](#) [PKF International](#))

DISCLAIMER

Part of this report contains material sourced from third party websites. This material could include technical inaccuracies or typographical errors. The materials in this report are provided "as is" and without warranties of any kind either expressed or implied, to the fullest extent permissible pursuant to applicable law. Neither are any warranties or representations made regarding the use of or the result of the use of the material in the report in terms of their correctness, accuracy, reliability, or otherwise. Materials in this report do not constitute financial or other professional advice.

We disclaim any responsibility for the content available on any other site reached by links to or from the website.

RESTRICTION OF LIABILITY

Although full endeavours are made to ensure that the material in this report is correct, no liability will be accepted for any damages or injury caused by, including but not limited to, inaccuracies or typographical errors within the material, Neither will liability be accepted for any damages or injury, including but not limited to, special or consequential damages that result from the use of, or the inability to use, the materials in this report. Total liability to you for all losses, damages, and causes of action (in contract, tort (including without limitation, negligence), or otherwise) will not be greater than the amount you paid for the report.

RESTRICTIONS ON USE

All Country Reports accessed and/or downloaded and/or printed from the website may not be distributed, republished, uploaded, posted, or transmitted in any way outside of your organization, without our prior consent. Restrictions in force by the websites of source information will also apply.

We prohibit caching and the framing of any Content available on the website without prior written consent.

Any questions or queries should be addressed to: -

Gary Youinou

Via our [Contact Page](#) at KnowYourCountry.com